arXiv:1206.3341v3 [math.AT] 5 May 2013

SMOOTH APPROXIMATION IN ALGEBRAIC SETS AND THE TOPOLOGICAL ATIYAH–SEGAL MAP

THOMAS BAIRD AND DANIEL A. RAMRAS

Abstract. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a real algebraic set. We show that all continuous maps $M \to X$, with M a smooth, closed manifold, are homotopic (in X) to C^∞ maps. We apply this result to study characteristic classes of vector bundles associated to continuous families of complex group representations. This leads to an explanation for certain phenomena in deformation K–theory, and has applications to spaces of flat connections over aspherical manifolds.

1. Introduction

In the early 1960's, Atiyah [2] introduced a map from the representation ring $R[\Gamma]$ of a finite group Γ to the K–theory of classifying space $B\Gamma$, and proved that this map induces an isomorphism of rings

$$R[\Gamma]^\wedge_I \longrightarrow K^*(B\Gamma), \tag{1}$$

where $R[\Gamma]^\wedge_I$ is the completion of $R[\Gamma]$ at the augmentation ideal. This result was extended to connected, compact Lie groups by Atiyah and Hirzebruch [4], and to arbitrary compact Lie groups by Atiyah and Segal [5]. The map (1), commonly known as the Atiyah–Segal map, is built by associating to each finite-dimensional representation $\rho\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ the vector bundle $E_\rho \to B\Gamma$ defined by the mixing construction:

$$E_\rho = (E\Gamma \times \mathbb{C}^n)/\Gamma \longrightarrow B\Gamma,$$

where Γ acts diagonally.

In this article, we shift attention from compact Lie groups to infinite discrete groups. In this situation, the pullback of E_ρ along any map

$$f\colon M \to B\Gamma,$$

with M a smooth manifold, admits a flat connection. Using Chern-Weil theory, one can then show that the Chern classes

$$c_n(E_\rho) \in H^{2n}(B\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$$

vanish rationally, severely restricting the class of vector bundles over $B\Gamma$ that are of the form E_ρ.

When $B\Gamma$ is a finite CW complex, the Bott periodicity isomorphism

$$K^0(B\Gamma) \cong K^{-2m}(B\Gamma) = K^0(S^{2m} \wedge B\Gamma)$$

allows us to view classes in $K^0(B\Gamma)$ in terms of vector bundles over suspensions of $B\Gamma$. Spherical families of representations

$$\rho\colon S^m \to \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$$

The first author was partly supported by an NSERC discovery grant. The second author was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-0804553 and DMS-0968766.

(based at the trivial representation) give rise to vector bundles $E_\rho \to S^m \wedge B\Gamma$. One can now ask which K-theory classes can be realized by such families of representations. In Section 4, we consider a natural extension of the Atiyah–Segal map to such families. We define groups $K_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)$ and $KU_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)$ ($m \geqslant 0$) consisting of spherical families of complex (or unitary) representations of Γ, and construct a homomorphism, the *topological Atiyah–Segal map*,

$$\alpha_m\colon K_*^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow K^{-*}(B\Gamma) \tag{2}$$

analogous to the classical Atiyah–Segal map. We note that this map is closely related to the Novikov Conjecture on the homotopy invariance of higher signatures, which is implied by rational injectivity of the analytical assembly map

$$K_*(B\Gamma) \longrightarrow K_*(C^*(\Gamma)) \tag{3}$$

from the K–homology of $B\Gamma$ to the K–theory of the maximal C^*–algebra of Γ. If $B\Gamma$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW complex and α_m is rationally surjective for $m >> 0$, then it follows from Ramras–Willett–Yu [34, Lemma 3.15 and Corollary 4.3] that the map (3) is rationally injective.

In this article, we show that (the unitary version of) the topological Atiyah–Segal map is an isomorphism when:

- Γ is a finitely generated free group and $m \geqslant 0$;
- Γ is the fundamental group of a compact, aspherical, non-orientable surface and $m \geqslant 0$;
- Γ is the fundamental group of a compact, aspherical, orientable surface and $m > 0$.

The zero-dimensional failure in the third case reflects the fact that the Chern classes of flat bundles are torsion, whereas the first Chern class of a bundle E over an orientable surface M^g can be any element of $H^2(M^g;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Work of the second author indicates that this failure should creep up in dimension as the dimension of the manifold increases. We prove in Theorem 4.15 that if Γ is finitely generated, the group $K_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)$ (respectively, $KU_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)$) is isomorphic to Carlsson's (unitary) deformation K–theory group $\pi_m K^{\mathrm{def}}(\Gamma)$ ($m \geqslant 0$), as defined in [23] or [30]. (This endows $\bigoplus_* KU_*^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)$ with a multiplicative structure; see Remark 4.19.) It was proven in Ramras [33, Section 6] that if Γ is a product of surface groups, then the deformation K–theory groups $\pi_m K^{\mathrm{def}}(\Gamma) \cong KU_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)$ agree with topological K–theory in dimensions greater than $\mathbb{Q}cd(\Gamma) - 2$ (but not in lower dimensions), where $\mathbb{Q}cd$ denotes the *rational cohomological dimension*.

The main results of this paper offer a cohomological explanation of the low-dimensional failure, again relying on Chern–Weil theory. More generally, we study the characteristic classes of bundles formed from continuous families of complex representations. We establish the following result.

Theorem 1.1 (Section 3)**.** *Let Γ be a finitely generated discrete group. For any space X and any map $\rho\colon X \to \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$, the Chern classes $c_i(E_\rho) \in H^{2i}(X \times B\Gamma;\mathbb{Z})$ map to zero in $H^{2i}(X \times B\Gamma;\mathbb{Q})$ for $i > \mathbb{Q}cd(X)$.*

Specializing to the case $X = S^m$, we find that the topological Atiyah–Segal map (2) cannot be surjective in low dimensions.

Theorem 1.2 (Section 4.3)**.** *Let Γ be a discrete group such that $B\Gamma$ has the homotopy type of a finite CW complex. Then the topological Atiyah–Segal map α_m*

satisfies

$$\mathrm{Rank}(\mathrm{coker}(\alpha_m)) \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{m+2i}(\Gamma),$$

where $\beta_j(\Gamma) = \mathrm{Rank}\, H^j(\Gamma;\mathbb{Q})$ *denotes the* j*th Betti number. In particular, this map fails to be surjective when* $m \leqslant \mathbb{Q}cd(\Gamma) - 2$.

This is proven in Theorem 4.13, along with an analogue for the map

$$B\colon\ \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Map}_*(B\Gamma, B\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C}))$$

sending a representation ρ to the map $B\rho\colon\ B\pi_1 M \to B\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C})$ between (the simplicial models for) the classifying spaces of these groups.

Corollary 1.2 is closely analogous to the low-dimensional failure of the Quillen–Lichtenbaum conjectures, as proven by Rost and Voevodsky [38] and (at the prime 2) Ostvær and Rosenschon [35]. These conjectures relate the (mod p) K–theory of a field F (or more generally, a scheme) to its (mod p) étale K–theory, in dimensions greater than the (virtual) étale cohomological dimension of F, minus 2. This analogy is made somewhat more concrete by conjectures of Carlsson [7] relating algebraic K–theory of fields to representations of the absolute Galois group. (We also note that Carlsson has proposed an analogue of the Atiyah–Segal map in this algebraic context [6].)

We now offer a sketch of the proofs of our main results. Assume that $B\Gamma$ is (homotopy equivalent to) a smooth, closed manifold M, and consider a family of representations $\rho\colon\ S^m \to \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$. If for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ the map $\rho_\gamma(z) = \rho(z)(\gamma)$ is a smooth map $S^m \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, then E_ρ is a smooth bundle and admits a connection that is flat in the M direction. Applying Chern-Weil theory to this connection leads to Theorem 1.1, and Theorem 1.2 follows by considering the Chern character. To apply these ideas to arbitrary families of representations, we establish the following general result about maps into (possibly singular) real algebraic sets, which should be of independent interest.

Theorem 1.3 (Section 2)**.** *Let* $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *be a real algebraic set, and let* $f\colon\ M \to X$ *be a continuous map from a smooth, closed manifold* M*. Then there exists a map* $g\colon\ M \to X$*, and a homotopy* $H\colon\ M \times I \to X$ *connecting* f *and* g*, such that the composite* $M \xrightarrow{g} X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ *is* C^∞*.*

The problem of smoothing maps into real algebraic sets seems like a natural one, so we were surprised that we could not find any mention of it in the literature. We consulted several experts in real algebraic geometry, and some expected our result to be true, while others expected it to be false.

Our proof proceeds by embedding X as the singular set of an irreducible, quasi-projective variety Y and using Hironaka's resolution of singularities theorem to find an algebraic map $\widetilde{Y} \to Y$ for which the inverse image of X is a divisor with normal crossing singularities. Using basic facts about neighborhoods of algebraic sets, this reduces the problem to the case of normal crossing divisors, which can be handled by differential-geometric means. We note that there is also a version of this result for smoothing out maps from non-compact manifolds into compact algebraic sets.

We apply our results to give information about *fiberwise flat* families of bundles over surfaces (Proposition 3.8) and to study the space of flat, unitary connections on bundles over compact, aspherical manifolds. For such a bundle $E \to M$, we prove in Section 5 that

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E) \simeq \text{hofib}_E \left(\text{Hom}(\pi_1 M, U(n)) \xrightarrow{B} \text{Map}(B\pi_1 M, BU(n)) \right), \tag{4}$$

and Corollary 1.2 then yields cohomological lower bounds on the ranks of the homotopy groups $\pi_* \mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E)$. In particular, $\mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E)$ has infinitely many connected components if $H^3(M; \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ and $\text{Rank}(E)$ is sufficiently large. This result appears to be new even in the case where M is the 3-torus, and is in contrast with 2–dimensional Yang–Mills theory, which shows that $\mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E)$ is highly connected when E is a flat bundle over a surface (see Ramras [31, Proposition 4.9], for instance).

Organization: In Section 2, we establish our results about maps into real algebraic sets, which are applied to study the characteristic classes of flat bundles in Section 3. The topological Atiyah–Segal map is considered in Section 4, and spaces of flat connections are studied in Section 5.

Acknowledgements The first author thanks Juan Souto and Frances Kirwan for helpful conversations. The second author thanks Ben Wieland, Rufus Willett, and Guoliang Yu for helpful conversations. The groups $K_*^{\text{rep}}(\Gamma)$ considered in this paper, and the topological Atiyah–Segal map, first arose in conversations of the second author with Willett and Yu. In addition, the suggestions of an anonymous referee helped to improve the exposition.

2. SMOOTHING MAPS INTO REAL ALGEBRAIC SETS

The goal of this section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $i : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a real algebraic set and let M be a smooth manifold. Assume that either X or M is compact. Then for every continuous map $\phi : M \to X$ there exists a map $\phi' : M \to X$ homotopic to ϕ such that $i \circ \phi'$ is smooth.*[1]

The following example helps illustrate the subtlety of Theorem 2.1. Consider the subspace $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ defined as the union of the graph

$$\{(x, y) \mid y = x \sin(1/x), -1/\pi \leq x \leq 1/\pi\}$$

with the polygonal path traveling from $(-1/\pi, 0)$ to $(-1/\pi, 1)$ to $(1/\pi, 1)$ to $(1/\pi, 0)$. The subspace X is homeomorphic to S^1, so $\pi_1(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. However, the non-trivial elements of $\pi_1(X)$ cannot be represented by smooth maps $\phi : S^1 \to X$ because the curve $x \sin(1/x)$ has infinite arc length. Note, moreover, that just as with the real algebraic sets considered in Theorem 2.1, it is possible to triangulate $\mathbb{R}^2$ with X as a subcomplex. This follows from the Shoenflies Theorem (see, for instance, Moise [28, Chapter 10, Theorem 4]) but can in fact be done quite explicitly.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 2.1. We will assume that M is compact, which is the only case needed later in the paper; the argument is similar when X is compact but M is not.

---

[1] We use Euclidean topology throughout, except that "irreducible algebraic set" means irreducible in the Zariski topology. We will identify all real algebraic sets with their real points throughout this section.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a real algebraic set. There exists an irreducible real algebraic set $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ such that Y is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and the projection map $\pi\colon \mathbb{R}^{n+2} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^n$ restricts to a homeomorphism*

$$\pi : Sing(Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} X.$$

Proof. We may suppose that X is equal to the zero set of a single polynomial $p = p(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, that is:

$$X = Z(p).$$

Introduce new variables y_1, y_2 and consider the polynomial $g(x, y) = p(x)^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^3$. Define

$$Y = Z(g).$$

It is not hard to verify that the corresponding inclusion $\mathbb{R}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ identifies X bijectively with the singular locus of Y, so the projection onto $\mathbb{R}^n$ identifies $Sing(Y) \cong X$.

Now observe that for any choice of real values for $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1$, there is a unique choice of y_2 solving $g(x, y) = 0$, namely $y_2 = (g(x, y) - p(x)^2 - y_1^2)^{1/3}$. Hence Y is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Furthermore, the polynomial $g(x, y)$ is irreducible, since its reduction $y_1^2 + y_2^3$ is irreducible (see, for example, Dummit and Foote [8, Section 9.1]). □

In particular, a map into X is smooth as a map into $\mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if the corresponding map into $Sing(Y)$ is smooth as a map into $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. We identify X with $Sing(Y)$ in what follows. The following version of Hironaka's resolution of singularities theorem appears in Kollár [22, Theorem 3.27].

Theorem 2.3. *Given a quasiprojective real algebraic variety $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ with singular set X, there exists a nonsingular real algebraic variety $\widetilde{Y}$ and a birational and projective morphism*

$$\Pi : \widetilde{Y} \to Y$$

which restricts to an isomorphism over $Y - X$ and for which $\widetilde{X} := \Pi^{-1}(X)$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings.

Morphism here means regular map, which in particular means that Π is smooth as a map $\widetilde{Y} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. The statement that Π is projective implies that it is proper in the Euclidean topology (this is well known over $\mathbb{C}$ and follows over $\mathbb{R}$ by taking fixed points of complex conjugation). The simple normal crossing condition (plus properness) implies that $\widetilde{X}$ is a finite union of embedded, boundary-free, connected submanifolds of codimension 1, intersecting transversely.

Lemma 2.4. *Let notation be as in Theorem 2.3, and let M be a finite simplicial complex. Then for every continuous map $f : M \to X$, there exists a continuous map $g : M \to \widetilde{X}$ for which $\Pi \circ g$ is homotopic to f.*

Proof. Because M is compact, the image $f(M) \subseteq X \subseteq Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ is also compact. Choose a sufficiently large closed ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ so that $f(M) \subseteq B \cap X$. Then $B \cap X$ is a compact semi-algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$, so by Durfee [9] there exists an open $U \supset B \cap X$ for which inclusion is a homotopy equivalence. Furthermore, $\Pi^{-1}(B \cap X)$ is a compact, semi-algebraic subset of the non-singular variety $\widetilde{Y}$ so using the method of rug functions from [9], U may be chosen so that $\Pi^{-1}(U)$ deformation retracts to $\Pi^{-1}(B \cap X)$. We are left with a commuting diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi^{-1}(X \cap B) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Pi^{-1}(U) \arrow[d] \\
X \cap B \arrow[r] & U
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5}$$

where the horizontal arrows are homotopy equivalences and the vertical maps are restrictions of Π.[2] Since $f(M) \subset X \cap B$ is compact, for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, f is homotopic as a map into U to

$$f_\epsilon : M \to U,\ f_\epsilon(m) = (f(m), \epsilon^3, -\epsilon^2).$$

In fact, since $f_\epsilon(M) \subset Y \backslash X$ and Π restricts to homeomorphism $\widetilde{Y} \backslash \widetilde{X} \cong Y \backslash X$, there exists a unique map $g_\epsilon : M \to \Pi^{-1}(U)$ with $\Pi \circ g_\epsilon = f_\epsilon$. Because the horizontal arrows of (5) are homotopy equivalences, this implies the existence of g. □

Tracing through the reasoning here: Given an arbitrary real, affine set X and a continuous map $f : M \to X$ we may lift to a map $g : M \to \widetilde{X}$ such that $\Pi \circ g$ is homotopic to f (in X). If g can be deformed inside $\widetilde{X}$ to a smooth map h, then $\Pi \circ h$ is smooth and homotopic to f. Thus to prove Theorem 2.1 it suffices to prove the special case that X is a simple normal crossing divisor. In terms of the underlying smooth manifolds, we may restrict to the case that Y is a smooth manifold without boundary and $X \subset Y$ is a finite union

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} M_i$$

where each M_i is an embedded, connected manifold (without boundary) of codimension one in Y, and Y may be covered by coordinate neighbourhoods whose intersection with X is a union of coordinate hyperplanes.

Lemma 2.5. *Let Y be a non-singular irreducible real algebraic set and let $X \subset Y$ be a simple normal crossing divisor as above. For each $i \in 1, \dots, N$, let*

$$\pi_i : \nu_i \to M_i$$

be the (one-dimensional) normal bundle of M_i in Y. Then there exists a smooth tubular neighbourhood map

$$\phi_i : \nu_i \hookrightarrow Y$$

such that for all $j \neq i$, we have $\phi_i(n) \in M_j \Leftrightarrow \phi_i(\pi_i(n)) \in M_j$.

Proof. Consider first the situation where the normal bundle ν_i is trivial, so that $\nu_i \cong M_i \times \mathbb{R}$. Choose an integrable, smooth vector field $V \in \mathfrak{X}(Y)$, which is transverse to M_i but is tangent to M_j for all $j \neq i$. Because $\cup_{i=1}^n M_i$ looks locally like a union of coordinate hyperplanes, such a V is easily constructed by gluing together locally defined vector fields using a partition of unity. Then V integrates a one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms $\Phi : Y \times \mathbb{R} \to Y$ preserving M_j for $j \neq i$. The restriction $\Phi|_{M_i \times \mathbb{R}} : M_i \times \mathbb{R} \to Y$ sends $M_i \times \{0\}$ diffeomorphically onto M_i and is regular along $M_i \times \{0\}$ because V is transverse to M_i. By a version of the inverse function theorem (Guillemin-Pollack [17, 1.8 Exercise 14]), $\Phi|_{M_i \times \mathbb{R}}$ restricts to a diffeomorphism between a tubular neighbourhood of $M_i \times \{0\}$ in $M_i \times \mathbb{R}$ and a tubular neighbourhood of M_i in Y. Identifying ν_i with a tubular neighbourhood in $M_i \times \mathbb{R}$ completes the argument.

---

[2] One may establish the existence of such a diagram using the theory of regular neighborhoods, as presented in Hudson–Zeeman [21], instead of the theory of rug functions.

Now consider the case that ν_i is non-orientable. Let $\rho : \widetilde{M_i} \to M_i$ be a double covering map such that the pullback $\rho^*\nu_i$ is trivial ($\widetilde{M_i}$ may be taken to be the unit sphere bundle in ν_i for some metric on ν_i). Let $M_i \subset U$ be an open tubular neighbourhood of M_i in Y. Taking the corresponding cover of U, we may form the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{M_i} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\rho"'] & \widetilde{U} \arrow[d, "\rho_U"] \\
M_i \arrow[r] & U
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ρ and ρ_U are two-fold coverings with deck transformation τ, and the horizontal maps are τ-equivariant embeddings for which $\widetilde{M_i}$ has trivial normal bundle. The preimage $\rho_U^{-1}(X \cap U)$ is a normal crossing divisor in $\widetilde{U}$ containing $\widetilde{M_i}$.

As before, choose a smooth vector field $V \in \mathfrak{X}(U)$ which is transverse to $\widetilde{M_i}$ and tangent to $\rho_U^{-1}(M_j)$ for $j \neq i$. Replacing V by $\frac{1}{2}(V - \tau_*(V))$, we may assume that $\tau_*(V) = -V$. Proceeding as before, we obtain a τ-equivariant submersion $\widetilde{\phi}_i : \rho^*\nu_i \to \widetilde{U}$. This descends to a tubular neighbourhood map

$$\phi_i : \nu_i \cong (\rho^*\nu_i)/\tau \to U \subseteq Y$$

satisfying the requirements of the theorem.

□

of Theorem 2.1. It suffices to consider the case of that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^N M_i$ is a simple normal crossing divisor in a non-singular, irreducible real algebraic set Y. The proof proceeds by constructing an open (weak) deformation retraction neighbourhood U of X such that the retraction $r : U \to X$ is smooth as a map to Y. Since any continuous map $f : M \to X$ is homotopic to a smooth map $f' : M \to U$, the composition $r \circ f'$ is smooth and homotopic to f.

Choose a tubular neighbourhood $\phi_i : \nu_i \to Y$ for each M_i as in Lemma 2.5 and choose a smooth inner product on ν_i. Define the map $h_i : \nu_i \to \nu_i$ by $(m, v) \to (m, s(|v|^2)v)$ where $s : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth map such that $s(x) = 0$ for $|x| < 1$ and $s(x) = 1$ for $|x| > 2$. Extending $\phi_i \circ h_i \circ \phi_i^{-1}$ by the identity map determines a smooth map

$$H_i : Y \to Y$$

such that

- $H_i(U_i) = M_i$ for some open neighbourhood U_i of M_i,
- $H_i(X) \subseteq X$, and
- $H_i|_X$ is homotopic to the identity on X.

The composition $H_1 \circ \cdots \circ H_N$ sends the open neighbourhood

$$V = \bigcup_{i=1}^N (H_{i+1} \circ \cdots \circ H_N)^{-1}(U_i)$$

to X. Taking any (possibly smaller) neighbourhood $X \subset U \subseteq V$ such that U deformation retracts to X completes the proof. □

3. Characteristic classes of flat families

Let Γ be a discrete group, and let $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ denote the space of all n–dimensional representations of Γ. We give this space the subspace topology inherited from product topology on the function space $(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))^\Gamma$, so there is a continuous evaluation map

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})) \times \Gamma \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

If $S \subset \Gamma$ is a generating set, then there is a canonical homeomorphism from $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ to its image under the restriction map

$$R_S\colon\ (\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))^\Gamma \to (\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))^S.$$

If $|S| = s < \infty$, then the image of R_S is a real algebraic subset of $(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))^s$, which is itself a real algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^{4n^2 s}$ via the homeomorphism

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \cong \{(A, B) \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^2 \mid AB = I_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n^2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{4n^2}.$$

A continuous map $\rho\colon X \to \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ will be called an X–*family* of representations (or simply an X–family), and we write $\rho_x = \rho(x)$ for the representation of Γ associated to $x \in X$. If X is a smooth manifold, we say that an X–family ρ is *smooth* if the composite

$$X \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})) \xrightarrow{R_S} (\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))^S \subset \mathbb{R}^{4|S|^2} \tag{6}$$

is smooth for some finite generating set S. Note that by Theorem 2.1, every X–family ρ is homotopic (through X–families of representations) to a smooth X–family.

Given a based CW complex (N, n_0), let $\widetilde{N}$ denote the universal cover of N. Specifically, we view $\widetilde{N}$ as the set (appropriately topologized) of based homotopy classes $\langle l\rangle$ of paths $l\colon [0,1] \to N$ with $l(1) = n_0$ (note that the opposite convention is commonly used, e.g. in Hatcher [18, Section 1.3]). The constant path at n_0 will be denoted $\widetilde{n}_0$, and the projection

$$q\colon (\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{n}_0) \to (N, n_0)$$

given by evaluation at 0 is a right principal $(\pi_1(N, n_0))$–bundle, with right action given by $\langle l\rangle \cdot \langle\alpha\rangle = \langle l\Box\alpha\rangle$ for any loop α based at n_0 (here $l\Box\alpha$ denotes the concatenated loop tracing out l first and then α).

We can now build a right principal $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$–bundle

$$E_\rho(N) = (X \times \widetilde{N} \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))/\pi_1(N, n_0) \xrightarrow{\pi} X \times N$$

where $\gamma \in \pi_1(N, n_0)$ acts by $(x, \widetilde{n}, A) \cdot \gamma = (x, \widetilde{n} \cdot \gamma, \rho_x(\gamma)^{-1} A)$, and the projection map is simply $\pi([x, \widetilde{n}, A]) = (x, q(\widetilde{n}))$. If X and N are smooth manifolds and ρ is a smooth X–family, then this action of $\pi_1(N, n_0)$ is smooth, properly discontinuous, and $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$–equivariant, so the orbit space $E_\rho(N)$ inherits (in a canonical fashion) the structure of a smooth principal $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$–bundle over $(X \times \widetilde{N})/\pi_1(N, n_0) \cong X \times N$.

If Γ is a discrete group, we set $E_\rho := E_\rho(B\Gamma)$, where $B\Gamma$ is the simplicial model for the classifying space of Γ (i.e. $B\Gamma$ is the geometric realization of Γ viewed as a category with one object). Let $E\Gamma \to B\Gamma$ denote the simplicial model for the universal principal Γ–bundle. We use the conventions described in Ramras [30, Section 2], so that this is a right principal Γ–bundle. Universality of $E\Gamma$ yields a

Γ–equivariant homeomorphism $\widetilde{B\Gamma} \to E\Gamma$ (covering the identity on $B\Gamma$). Hence we have an isomorphism of principal $U(n)$–bundles over $B\Gamma$:

$$E_\rho = (X \times \widetilde{B\Gamma} \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))/\Gamma \cong (X \times E\Gamma \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))/\Gamma.$$

This alternate description of E_ρ will be useful due to its relationship with the (simplicial) universal principal $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$–bundle $E\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to B\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

We now record some basic properties of these constructions.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (N, n_0) and (N', n_0') be based, connected CW complexes.*

(1) *Given a family*

$$\rho\colon X \to \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(N, n_0), \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})),$$

and maps $(N', n_0') \xrightarrow{g} (N, n_0)$ and $X' \xrightarrow{f} X$, there is an isomorphism

$$(f \times g)^*(E_\rho(N)) \cong E_{g^\# \circ \rho \circ f}(N')$$

of principal $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$–bundles over $X' \times N'$, where

$$g^\#\colon \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(N, n_0), \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(N', n_0'), \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$$

is the map induced by composition with $g_*\colon \pi_1(N', n_0') \to \pi_1(N, n_0)$.

(2) *If ρ and ρ' are homotopic X–families of representations, then*

$$E_\rho(N) \cong E_{\rho'}(N).$$

Proof. Part (1) follows from the fact that f induces a map

$$\widetilde{f}\colon (\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{n}_0) \longrightarrow (\widetilde{N'}, \widetilde{n_0'}),$$

defined by $\widetilde{f}(\langle l \rangle) = \langle f \circ l \rangle$, and $\widetilde{f}$ is equivariant in the sense that

$$\widetilde{f}(\widetilde{n} \cdot \gamma) = \left(\widetilde{f}(\widetilde{n})\right) \cdot f_*(\gamma)$$

for each $\widetilde{n} \in \widetilde{N}$ and each $\gamma \in \pi_1(N, n_0)$.

Part (2) follows from the Bundle Homotopy Theorem, because if

$$H\colon X \times I \to \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$$

is a homotopy from ρ to ρ', then

$$E_H(N) \to X \times I \times N$$

is a bundle homotopy between $E_\rho(N)$ and $E_{\rho'}(N)$. □

Definition 3.2. *If X and M are smooth manifolds and $E \to X \times M$ is a smooth principal $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$–bundle, we say that a connection on E is* fiberwise flat *if its restriction to each slice $\{x\} \times M$ is a flat connection ($x \in X$). We define fiberwise flat connections for families of $U(n)$–bundles in the analogous manner. (Although the roles of X and M are interchangeable here, we think of X as a parameter space and E as a family of bundles over M, parametrized by X.)*

Lemma 3.3. *If X and M are smooth manifolds and*

$$\rho\colon X \to \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(M, m_0), \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

is a smooth family of representations, then the bundle $E_\rho(M)$ admits a fiberwise flat connection whose holonomy over $\{x\} \times M$ (computed at $[x, \widetilde{m_0}, I] \in E_\rho(M)$) is precisely $\rho(x)$. The analogous result holds in the unitary case.

Proof. In the Ehresmann formulation, a connection on a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$-bundle P is a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$–invariant splitting $TP = V + H$ into a sum of smooth subbundles, where V is the vertical bundle tangent to the fibers of P and H is called the horizontal bundle.

Let $\widetilde{E} = X \times \widetilde{M} \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, and let $\widetilde{D} \subset T\widetilde{E}$ be the smooth subbundle of tangents to the submanifolds $\{x\} \times \widetilde{M} \times \{A\}$ for all fixed $(x, A) \in X \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\widetilde{D}$ is invariant under both $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and Γ, so it descends to a smooth, $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$-equivariant subbundle $D \subset TE_\rho(M)$, which intersects the vertical bundle $V \subset TE_\rho(M)$ trivially. The total space of the principal $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$–bundle $E_\rho(M)$ admits a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$–invariant Riemannian metric[3], and relative to this metric, we define an Ehresmann connection

$$H := D + (D + V)^\perp$$

on $E_\rho(M)$. The restriction of H to a slice $\{x\} \times M \subset X \times M$ is a flat connection on $E_{\rho(x)}$, and we must show that the holonomy of this connection (computed at $[x, \widetilde{m_0}, I] \in E_{\rho(x)}(M)$) is precisely $\rho(x)$. To check this, note that for any loop $\alpha\colon ([0,1], \{0,1\}) \to (M, m_0)$, the horizontal lift of α to $E_\rho(M)$ is simply

$$t \mapsto [x, \widetilde{\alpha}(t), I],$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is the unique lift of α to $\widetilde{M}$ satisfying $\widetilde{\alpha}(0) = \widetilde{n}_0$. We now have

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(1) \cdot \rho_x(\gamma) = [x, \widetilde{\alpha}(1), I] \cdot \rho_x(\gamma) = [x, \widetilde{n}_0 \cdot \overline{\gamma}, \rho_x(\gamma)] = [\widetilde{n}_0, I]$$

as desired. (The second equality relies on the choice made in defining $\widetilde{M}$.) □

We will also need the following basic result regarding cohomology in characteristic zero.

Lemma 3.4. *Let Y be a topological space. Then there exists a set of smooth, closed manifolds $\{M_k\}_{k\in K}$, and a map $f\colon M := \coprod_k M_k \to Y$ such that*

$$f^*\colon H^j(Y;\mathbb{Q}) \to H^j(M;\mathbb{Q}) \cong \prod_k H^j(M_k;\mathbb{Q})$$

is injective for all j. If $\mathbb{Q}cd(Y) = m$, then the M_k can be chosen to have dimension at most m.

Proof. Choose a basis $\{x_k\}_k$ for $H_*(Y;\mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{j\geqslant 0} H_j(Y;\mathbb{Q})$ as an $\mathbb{Q}$–vector space. By Thom's theorem [37] (see also Gaĭfullin [12] and [13]) for each k there exists a closed, smooth, orientable manifold M_k and a map $f\colon M_k \to Y$ such that $f_*([M_k]) = x_k$, where $[M_k]$ denotes the fundamental class. Note that if $\mathbb{Q}cd(Y) = m$, then $\dim(M_k) \leqslant m$. The Universal Coefficient Theorems for cohomology yields commutative diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^j(Y;\mathbb{Q}) \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[d, "\prod_k (f_k)^*"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_j(Y;\mathbb{Q}),\mathbb{Q}) \arrow[d, "\prod_k (f_k)_*^\vee"] \\
\prod_k H^j(M_k;\mathbb{Q}) \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \prod_k \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_j(M_k;\mathbb{Q}),\mathbb{Q}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

---

[3] To construct such a metric on a principal G–bundle P over a manifold N, where G is a Lie group, note that if P is trivial over $U \subset N$ then $T(P|_U) \cong T(U) \times T_e(G) \times G$, and any choice of metric on the bundle $T(U) \oplus (T_e(G) \times U)$ gives rise, under the translation action of G, to a G–invariant inner product on $T(P|_U)$. These inner products can be pasted together using a partition of unity on N.

where $(f_k)_*^\vee$ denotes the $\mathbb{Q}$–linear dual of $(f_k)_*$. Since $\prod_k (f_k)_*^\vee$ is injective, so is $\prod_k (f_k)^*$. The map $M \xrightarrow{\coprod_k f_k} Y$ agrees with $\prod_k (f_k)^*$ under the isomorphism $H^j(M;\mathbb{Q}) \cong \prod_k H^j(M_k;\mathbb{Q})$, completing the proof. □

We now come to the main result of this section. This result extends a result of Grothendieck [16, Corollaire 7.2], which corresponds to the case $X = \{*\}$. We will say that a space Y has *finite type* if $H_j(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated for each $j \geqslant 0$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let Z be a path connected topological space and let*

$$\rho\colon\ X \to \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(Z, z_0), \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$$

be a family of representations parametrized by a space X with $\mathbb{Q}cd(X) = m$. Assume that either X or Z has finite type. Then for $i > 0$, the Chern classes

$$c_{m+i}(E_\rho(Z)) \in H^{2m+2i}(X \times Z; \mathbb{Z})$$

map to zero in $H^{2m+2i}(X \times Z;\mathbb{Q})$.

In particular, if Γ is a finitely generated discrete group, then for any space X with $\mathbb{Q}cd(X) = m$ and any map $\rho\colon X \to \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$, the Chern classes $c_{m+i}(E_\rho) \in H^{2m+2i}(X \times B\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ (with $i > 0$) map to zero in $H^{2m+2i}(X \times B\Gamma;\mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. In the proof, $H^*(-)$ will denote rational cohomology. By abuse of notation, we denote the images of Chern classes in de Rham cohomology by $c_i(-)$.

We begin by reducing to the case in which X and Z are closed, connected, smooth manifolds. By Lemma 3.4, there exist families of smooth, closed manifolds $\{M_k\}_k$ and $\{N_j\}_j$ (with $\dim(M_k) \leqslant m$ for each k), and maps $M_k \xrightarrow{f_k} X$ and $N_j \xrightarrow{g_j} Z$ such that $f = \coprod_k f_k$ and $g = \coprod_j g_j$ induce injections on $H^*(-)$. By the Künneth Theorem for cohomology (Spanier [36, Theorem 5.6.1]), the map

$$H^*(X \times Z) \xrightarrow{\coprod_{k,j}(f_k \times g_j)^*} H^*\left(\coprod_{k,j} M_k \times N_j\right) \cong \prod_{k,j} H^*(M_k \times N_j)$$

is injective also. Hence to show that a class in $x \in H^*(X \times Z)$ is zero, it suffices to show that $(f_k \times g_j)^*(x) = 0$ for all k, j. Since Z is path connected and the N_i are smooth manifolds, we may assume that z_0 is in the image of g_j for each j (by replacing g_j with a homotopic map if necessary).

By part (1) of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$(f_k \times g_j)^*(c_{m+i}(E_\rho(Z))) = c_{m+i}(E_{g_j^\# \circ \rho \circ f_k}(N_j)).$$

Assuming the theorem for the connected, closed, smooth manifolds N_j and M_k, we see that $(f_k \times g_j)^*(c_{m+i}(E_\rho(Z))) = 0$ for each $i > 0$ and each j, k (note that $\dim(M_k) \leqslant m$ so $\mathbb{Q}cd(M_k) \leqslant m$). Hence we conclude that $c_{m+i}(E_\rho(Z)) = 0$ in $H^*(X \times Z;\mathbb{Q})$, as desired.

Now we consider the case in which Z and X are closed, connected, smooth manifolds. Let $\Gamma = \pi_1(Z, z_0)$, and note that Γ is finitely generated. Hence $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is a real algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^l$ for some l. By Theorem 2.1 and part (2) of Lemma 3.1, we may assume without loss of generality that ρ is a smooth family.

Finally, we apply Chern-Weil theory to the fiberwise flat connection H on $E_\rho(Z)$ guaranteed by Lemma 3.3. Choose local coordinates on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m, z_1, \ldots, z_p\}$ on $X \times Z$ such that the slices $\{x\} \times Z$ are defined locally by setting the x_i coordinates equal to constants. The curvature of H is defined locally by an $n \times n$ matrix F of differential 2–forms $F_{i,j} \in \Gamma(\wedge^2(T^*(X \times Z)) \otimes \mathbb{C})$. In local coordinates, the fact H is flat along slices $\{x\} \times Z$ implies that $F_{i,j}$ is a section of the subbundle of $\wedge^2(T^*(X \times Z) \otimes \mathbb{C})$ spanned by sections of the form $dx_i \wedge dx_j$ and $dx_i \wedge dz_j$. Consequently, any product of $m+1$ matrix entries from F vanishes. The Chern-Weil form representing $c_{m+i}(E_\rho(Z))$ is a symmetric polynomial of degree $m+i$ in the entries of F. It follows that for $i > 0$ the Chern class $c_{m+i}(E_\rho(Z))$ is represented in de Rham cohomology by the zero form, and hence $c_{m+i}(E_\rho(Z)) = 0$ in $H^*(X \times Z; \mathbb{R})$ (and also in $H^*(X \times Z; \mathbb{Q})$). □

We end this section with an application to families of bundles over surfaces. This discussion will rely heavily on Morse theory for the Yang–Mills functional, so we will work mainly with unitary representations for the remainder of the section.

Given a principal $U(n)$–bundle $E \to X \times M$, where M is a connected, smooth, manifold, we call E *fiberwise flat* if the restriction E_x of E to $\{x\} \times M$ is a flat $U(n)$–bundle ($x \in X$). If X is a smooth manifold, one may ask whether E admits a (smooth) unitary connection whose restriction to E_x is flat for each $x \in X$ (in light of the construction in the proof of Theorem 3.5, this is essentially the same as asking for a smoothly varying family of flat connections on the bundles E_x). When E is trivial over $X \times \{m\}$ ($m \in M$), this question is closely related to the natural map

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1 M, U) \xrightarrow{B} \mathrm{Map}(B\pi_1 M, BU) \tag{7}$$

sending $\rho\colon \Gamma \to U$ to the induced map $B\rho\colon B\Gamma \to BU$ between simplicial classifying spaces (continuity of B is discussed in Ramras [33, Section 3]). Note that the map B has an analogue B_n with U replaced by $U(n)$; by abuse of notation we will often write B instead of B_n. These maps, and the next lemma, will play a key role in later sections as well.

Lemma 3.6. *Let X be a space and let Γ be a discrete group. For any family of representations $\rho\colon X \to \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n))$, the bundle $E_\rho \to X \times B\Gamma$ is classified by the map*

$$\begin{aligned} X \times B\Gamma &\xrightarrow{\rho\times\mathrm{Id}} \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n)) \times B\Gamma \\ &\xrightarrow{B\times\mathrm{Id}} \mathrm{Map}_*(B\Gamma, BU(n)) \times B\Gamma \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} BU(n). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The analogous statement holds with $U(n)$ replaced by $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. For each point $x \in X$, the homomorphism $\rho_x = \rho(x)$ induces a map

$$E(\rho_x)\colon E\Gamma \longrightarrow EU(n)$$

between the simplicial models for the universal bundles (we use the conventions described in Ramras [30, Section 2]). This map is equivariant with respect to the right actions of Γ and $U(n)$ on these bundles, in the sense that for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$

$$E(\rho_x)(e \cdot \gamma) = (E(\rho_x)(e)) \cdot \rho_x(\gamma).$$

We now have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} E_\rho \arrow[r, "\widetilde{u}_\rho"] \arrow[d] & EU(n) \arrow[d] \\ X \times B\Gamma \arrow[r, "u_\rho"] & BU(n), \end{tikzcd} \tag{9}$$

where u_ρ is the composition (8) and the map $\widetilde{u}_\rho$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{u}_\rho([x, e, A]) = (E(\rho_x)(e)) \cdot A. \tag{10}$$

Equivariance of $E(\rho_x)$ implies that $\widetilde{u}_\rho$ is well-defined. Furthermore, $\widetilde{u}_\rho$ is $U(n)$–equivariant, so Diagram (9) is a pullback diagram. □

Next, we consider classifying maps for fiberwise flat bundles. We say that a connection on a fiberwise flat bundle $E \to X \times M$ is fiberwise flat if its restriction to each E_x $(x \in X)$ is flat.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $E \to X \times M$ be a fiberwise flat $U(n)$–bundle as defined above, with M an aspherical smooth manifold, and let $\Gamma = \pi_1(M, m_0)$ $(m_0 \in M)$. If $E|_{X\times\{m_0\}}$ is trivial, then E is classified by a map*

$$X \times M \xrightarrow{\simeq} X \times B\Gamma \to BU(n),$$

whose adjoint $X \to \mathrm{Map}(B\Gamma, BU(n))$ factors through the subspace of based maps.

Now consider the case in which X is a smooth manifold. Then E admits a (smooth) unitary connection whose restriction to E_x is flat for each $x \in X$ if and only if E admits a classifying map whose adjoint factors (up to homotopy) through the map B. Again, the analogous statements hold with $U(n)$ replaced by $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. The first paragraph follows from the homotopy equivalence $M \simeq B\pi_1 M$ and the fact that inclusion $X \times \{*\} \subset X \times B\Gamma$ is a cofibration.

Now assume that X is a smooth manifold. Triviality of E over $X \times \{m_0\}$ allows one to choose a continuous section $s\colon X \to E|_{X\times\{m_0\}}$. If E admits a fiberwise flat connection A, then computing holonomy of (restrictions of) A with respect to the basepoints $s(x) \in E_{(x,m_0)}$ gives a smooth family $\rho\colon X \to \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n))$. As established in the proof of Theorem 3.5, the bundle $E_\rho \to X \times M$ admits a fiberwise flat connection (whose holonomy at the canonical basepoints is precisely ρ). One now may construct an isomorphism $E_\rho \cong E$ using parallel transport along the connections on E and E_ρ (this is just an elaboration on the standard proof in the case $X = \{*\}$, as discussed for example in Ramras [31, Appendix, Proposition 8.3]). Lemma 3.6 now provides the desired classifying map for E. Conversely, if E is classified by such a map, then E is the pullback of a bundle of the form E_ρ. By Theorem 2.1 we may assume that ρ is smooth, and now one may pull back the aforementioned connection on E_ρ along the isomorphism $E \cong E_\rho$. □

We now consider the case in which $M = \Sigma$ is a closed, aspherical surface.

Proposition 3.8. *Let Σ be a closed aspherical surface and let X be a connected smooth manifold. Let $E \to X \times \Sigma$ be a fiberwise flat principal $U(n)$–bundle and let ϵ^m denote the trivial $U(m)$–bundle $X \times \Sigma \times U(m)$. If the restriction of E to $X \times \{p\}$ is stably trivial $(p \in \Sigma)$, then for large enough m, $E \oplus \epsilon^m$ admits a unitary connection whose restriction to E_x is flat for each $x \in X$.*

We note that the restrictions on the Chern classes imposed by Theorem 3.5 already follow for dimensional reasons in this case, except if $\mathbb{Q}cd(X) = 0$. In that case, we find that $c_1(E)$ must be trivial in rational cohomology.

Proof. Let $f\colon X \to \mathrm{Map}_*(B\pi_1\Sigma, BU(n+m))$ be a classifying map for $E \oplus \epsilon^m$, where m is large enough that $E|_{X\times\{p\}}$ trivial. Let $i\colon BU(n+m) \to BU(n+m')$ denote the map induced by block sum with $I_{m'-m}$ $(m' > m)$. By Lemma 3.7, it suffices to show that for large enough m', $[i \circ f]$ lies in the image of the map

$$[X, \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1\Sigma, U(n+m'))] \longrightarrow [X, \mathrm{Map}_*(B\pi_1\Sigma, BU(n+m'))] \tag{11}$$

induced by $B_{n+m'}$ (the square brackets denote unbased homotopy classes of unbased maps). Standard obstruction theory (see, for example [1, Proposition 9.3.1]) tells us that a map $X \to \mathrm{Map}_*(B\pi_1\Sigma, BU(n+m'))$ lies in the image of (11) so long as the obstruction classes in $H^{k+1}(X; \pi_k \mathrm{hofib}(B_{n+m'}))$ vanish, where $\mathrm{hofib}(B_{n+m'})$ denotes the homotopy fiber (computed at a point inside the relevant component of the mapping space). Since X is a finite-dimensional CW complex, these cohomology groups are trivial for $k \geqslant \dim(X)$. Using Morse theory for the Yang–Mills functional, it was shown in Ramras [33, Theorem 3.4] that the map

$$\pi_k \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1\Sigma, U(n+m')) \longrightarrow \pi_k \mathrm{Map}_*(B\pi_1\Sigma, BU(n+m'))$$

is an isomorphism for $0 < k < 2(n+m')$ and for any compatible choice of basepoints.[4] Therefore, the homotopy groups of $\mathrm{hofib}(B_{n+m'})$ are trivial through a range of dimensions increasing to infinity with m'. Hence once m' is large enough, the obstructions to the lifting problem vanish. □

Remark 3.9. *Theorem 3.8 fails if Σ is replaced by a closed manifold M with rational cohomological dimension $\mathbb{Q}cd(M) > 2$. Indeed, it follows from Theorem 4.13 that the map (11) is not surjective when $X = S^k$ with $k \leqslant \mathbb{Q}cd(M) - 2$ (and $n + m' >> 0$), and now Lemma 3.7 shows that there exist fiberwise flat $U(n)$–bundles $E \to S^k \times M$ that are trivial over $S^k \times \{m_0\}$ but do not admit fiberwise flat connections.*

It would be interesting to understand fiberwise flat bundles whose restriction to the parameter coordinate is not stably trivial. The simplest examples of such bundles come from pulling back bundles $E \to X$ along the projection $\Sigma \times X \to X$; when X is a manifold, any connection on E pulls back to a connection that is trivial (hence flat) in the Σ–direction. It would be interesting to find examples in this setting which do not admit fiberwise flat connections.

4. The topological Atiyah–Segal map

Atiyah, Hirzebruch, and Segal studied the map

$$R[\Gamma] \longrightarrow K^0(B\Gamma)$$

---

[4] Only the component of the trivial representation is discussed in [33, Theorem 3.4]. In the orientable case, $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1\Sigma, U(n))$ is connected for all $n \geqslant 1$, and when Σ is non-orientable these spaces have exactly two components, which map bijectively under B to the two components of the mapping space – see Ho–Liu [20]. As explained in Section 5, the second component can be handled just as in [33], since the space of flat connections on the bundle in question is still highly connected by Ramras [31, Proposition 4.9].

The upper bound on k is not sharp; for more precise results see Ramras [32].

defined by sending a virtual representation $[\rho]-[\psi]$ to the virtual bundle $[E_\rho]-[E_\psi]$ over $B\Gamma$ (to be precise, when $B\Gamma$ is not finite-dimensional one should instead consider formal difference $[B\rho]-[B\psi]$ between the classifying maps for these bundles). In this section, we extend this map to *spherical families* of representations and study its behavior.

Given a discrete group Γ, we consider the topological monoid

$$\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)=\coprod_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma,\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})),$$

in which the monoid operation is given by block sum of matrices and

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma,\mathrm{GL}_0(\mathbb{C})):=\{0\},$$

where 0 is the (formal) identity element under block sum. This monoid is homotopy commutative: letting $A_{m,n}$ denote a path in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ from the identity matrix I_{m+n} to the block matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_m & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, the homotopy

$$H(\rho,\psi,t)=A_{\dim(\rho),\dim(\psi)}(t)(\rho\oplus\psi)\left(A_{\dim(\rho),\dim(\psi)}(t)\right)^{-1}$$

connects the maps $(\rho,\psi)\mapsto\rho\oplus\psi$ and $(\rho,\psi)\mapsto\psi\oplus\rho$.

As before, let $[X,Y]$ denote the set of unbased homotopy classes of unbased maps between spaces X and Y. If M is a topological monoid, $[X,M]$ inherits the structure of a monoid, and we may form the Grothendieck group $\mathrm{Gr}[X,M]$. If M is homotopy commutative, this is an abelian monoid.

Definition 4.1. *Let Γ be a discrete group. For $m=1,2,\ldots$, define $\widetilde{K}_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)$ to be the abelian group*

$$\widetilde{K}_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)=\mathrm{Gr}[S^m,\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)]/\mathrm{Gr}\left(\pi_0\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)\right)$$

and define

$$K_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)=\widetilde{K}_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)\oplus K^{-m}(*).$$

For $m=0$, we define[5]

$$K_0^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)=\mathrm{Gr}[S^0,\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)]/\mathrm{Gr}\left(\pi_0\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)\right).$$

Here $\mathrm{Gr}\left(\pi_0\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)\right)$ is viewed as the subgroup of $\mathrm{Gr}[S^m,\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)]$ generated by formal differences between constant maps. Note that the map $S^m\to *$ induces a map of monoids

$$\pi_0\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)\xrightarrow{i}[S^m,\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)],$$

and the induced map

$$\mathrm{Gr}\left(\pi_0\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)\right)\xrightarrow{i}\mathrm{Gr}[S^m,\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)]$$

is injective.

We will later prove that $K_*^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)$ agrees with Carlsson's *deformation K–theory* for finitely generated groups Γ (Theorem 4.15 below). The groups $K_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)$ are the natural domain for a higher-dimensional version of the classical Atiyah–Segal map. Naively, we would like to define the topological Atiyah–Segal map by sending the class in $\widetilde{K}_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)$ associated to formal difference $[\rho]-[\psi]$ to the virtual bundle $[E_\rho]-[E_\psi]$, where the bundles E_ρ and E_ψ were defined in Section 3. Some case must be taken with basepoints, however, in order to make this into a well-defined homomorphism $\widetilde{K}^{\mathrm{rep}}_m(\Gamma) \to \widetilde{K}^{-m}(B\Gamma)$.

---

[5] One could define $\widetilde{K}_0^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)$ to be the subgroup of $K_0^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)$ generated by maps $\rho\colon S^0\to\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)$ satisfying $\dim\rho(1)=\dim\rho(-1)$, but we will not need to consider this subgroup.

We will use $1 \in S^0 \subset S^m$ as the basepoint of S^m, and for any family

$$\rho\colon S^m \to \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})),$$

we let $\widetilde{\rho(1)}\colon S^m \to \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ denote the constant family sending every point $z \in S^m$ to the representation $\rho(1)\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. If $\rho\colon S^m \to \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)$ is the constant map to $0 \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_0(\mathbb{C}))$, we define $E_\rho = S^m \times B\Gamma$ to be the identity map on $S^m \times B\Gamma$, viewed as a $\mathrm{GL}_0(\mathbb{C})$–bundle. Note that for each S^m–family ρ, we have an isomorphism of principal $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$–bundles

$$E_{\widetilde{\rho(1)}} \cong p_2^*(E_{\rho(1)}),$$

where $p_2\colon S^m \times B\Gamma \to B\Gamma$ is the projection.

For based CW complexes X_1 and X_2, the long exact sequence in K–theory for the pair $(X_1 \times X_2, X_1 \vee X_2)$ yields a (naturally) split short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{K}^0(X_1 \wedge X_2) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \widetilde{K}^0(X_1 \times X_2) \xrightarrow{i^*} \widetilde{K}^0(X_1 \vee X_2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

If ρ is an S^m–family ($m > 0$), the bundle $E_\rho \to S^m \times B\Gamma$ is trivial when restricted to $S^m \times \{x\}$ (for any point $\{x\} \in B\Gamma$), since it admits a continuous section $z \mapsto [z, \widetilde{x}, I]$ (where $\widetilde{x}$ is any point in the fiber of $E\Gamma$ over $x \in B\Gamma$). Thus we have an isomorphism

$$E_\rho|_{S^m \vee B\Gamma} \cong (p_2^* E_{\rho(1)})|_{S^m \vee B\Gamma} = E_{\widetilde{\rho(1)}}|_{S^m \vee B\Gamma},$$

and hence

$$[E_\rho] - [E_{\widetilde{\rho(1)}}] \in \ker(i^*) = \mathrm{Im}(\pi^*).$$

Since π^* is injective, the class $[E_\rho] - [E_{\widetilde{\rho(1)}}]$ has a well-defined pre-image under π^*, which we will denote by

$$(\pi^*)^{-1}([E_\rho] - [E_{\widetilde{\rho(1)}}]) \in K^0(S^m \wedge B\Gamma) = K^{-m}(B\Gamma). \tag{12}$$

By the part (2) of Lemma 3.1, this class depends only the unbased homotopy class of ρ. Note that if ρ is constant, then $E_\rho = E_{\widetilde{\rho(1)}}$, so in this case the class (12) is trivial.

With this understood, we can now define the topological Atiyah–Segal map. The definition of this map for $m = 0$ is a bit different from the definition for $m \geqslant 1$, due to the fact that $S^0 = \{\pm 1\}$ is disconnected.

Definition 4.2. *For $m \geqslant 1$, the (reduced) topological Atiyah–Segal map*

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_m\colon \widetilde{K}^{\mathrm{rep}}_m(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \widetilde{K}^{-m}(B\Gamma)$$

is defined by

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_m([\rho] - [\psi]) = (\pi^*)^{-1}\left([E_\rho] - [E_{\widetilde{\rho(1)}}]\right) - (\pi^*)^{-1}\left([E_\psi] - [\pi^* E_{\widetilde{\psi(1)}}]\right) \tag{13}$$

where $\pi\colon S^m \times B\Gamma \to S^m \wedge B\Gamma$ is the quotient map.

For $m = 0$, we define the unreduced topological Atiyah–Segal map

$$\alpha_0\colon K^{\mathrm{rep}}_0(\Gamma) \longrightarrow K^0(B\Gamma)$$

by

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_0([\rho] - [\psi]) = \left([E_{\rho(-1)}] - [E_{\rho(1)}]\right) - \left([E_{\psi(-1)}] - [E_{\psi(1)}]\right) \tag{14}$$

For $m \geqslant 1$, the unreduced topological Atiyah–Segal map

$$\alpha_m\colon\ K^{\mathrm{rep}}_m(\Gamma) \longrightarrow K^{-m}(B\Gamma)$$

is defined by

$$\alpha_m = \widetilde{\alpha}_m \oplus \mathrm{Id}_{K^{-m}(*)},$$

where we have used the natural splitting

$$K^{-m}(B\Gamma) \cong \widetilde{K}^{-m}(B\Gamma) \oplus K^{-m}(*).$$

We now relate α_0 to the classical Atiyah–Segal map. The map

$$\mathrm{Gr}[S^0, \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)] \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma))) \times \mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)))$$

defined by

$$\eta([\rho] - [\psi]) = ([\rho(-1), \psi(-1)], [\rho(1)] - [\psi(1)])$$

is an isomorphism of groups, and maps the subgroup

$$\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)) \subset \mathrm{Gr}[S^0, \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)]$$

(generated by constant maps) to the diagonal subgroup

$$\Delta \subset \mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma))) \times \mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma))),$$

inducing an isomorphism

$$K^{\mathrm{rep}}_0(\Gamma) = \frac{\mathrm{Gr}[S^0, \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)]}{\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)))} \xrightarrow{\overline{\eta}} \frac{\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma))) \times \mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)))}{\Delta}.$$

Composing with the natural isomorphism

$$\frac{\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma))) \times \mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)))}{\Delta} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)))$$

given by $(x, y) \mapsto x - y$ yields an isomorphism

$$K^{\mathrm{rep}}_0(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma))).$$

The composite

$$\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma))) \xrightarrow{\alpha_0 \circ \phi^{-1}} K^0(B\Gamma)$$

has the form

$$[\rho] - [\psi] \mapsto [E_\rho] - [E_\psi],$$

so the classical Atiyah–Segal map factors through $\alpha_0 \circ \phi^{-1}$.

One may replace $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ by $U(n)$ throughout the preceding discussion. We have the homotopy commutative topological monoid

$$\mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma) = \coprod_{n \geqslant 0} \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n)),$$

which gives rise to groups $KU^{\mathrm{rep}}_m(\Gamma)$ along with a unitary version of the topological Atiyah–Segal map

$$KU^{\mathrm{rep}}_m(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\alpha^U_m} K^{-m}(B\Gamma)$$

which factors through the natural map

$$KU^{\mathrm{rep}}_m(\Gamma) \longrightarrow K^{\mathrm{rep}}_m(\Gamma)$$

induced by the inclusion

$$\mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma).$$

4.1. **The homotopy groups of a homotopy group completion.** We wish to relate the unitary topological Atiyah–Segal map to the natural map

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U) \xrightarrow{B} \mathrm{Map}(B\Gamma, BU),$$

where $U = \mathrm{colim}_n\, U(n)$ is the infinite general linear group. This will be achieved (for certain groups) in the next section by relating the groups of spherical families $K^{\mathrm{rep}}_*(\Gamma)$ to $\pi_* \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U)$. Part of the argument works in the general setting of homotopy commutative topological monoids, and this is the focus of the present section.

Let A denote a topological monoid, with monoid operation $*\colon A \times A \to A$. In other words, $*$ is continuous, associative, and there exists a unit element $0 \in A$. For each $m \geq 0$, we may consider the discrete monoid $[S^m, A]$ consisting of unbased homotopy classes of maps $S^m \to A$.

Definition 4.3. *For each $m \geqslant 0$, let $\Pi_m(A)$ denote the Grothendieck group of the monoid $[S^m, A]$.*

Note that for each $m \geqslant 0$, $\Pi_m(A)$ contains a copy of $\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(A))$ as the subgroup of all differences between constant maps (we view $\pi_0(A)$ as the set of path components in X; we will write $\pi_0(X, x)$ for the naturally isomorphic set of base-point preserving maps $(S^0, 1) \to (X, x)$). Furthermore, for any group Γ and any $m \geqslant 0$, we have

$$\Pi_m(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma))/\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0 \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)) = \begin{cases} K^{\mathrm{rep}}_m(\Gamma) & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \widetilde{K}^{\mathrm{rep}}_m(\Gamma) & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases}$$

In order to state the main result of this section, we recall some terminology from Ramras [30, Section 3].

Definition 4.4. *A topological monoid $(A, *)$is* stably group-like *with respect to an element $a_0 \in A$ if the submonoid of $\pi_0(A)$ generated by a_0 is cofinal. More explicitly, A is stably group-like with respect to $a_0 \in A$ if for every $a \in A$, there exists $a' \in A$ and $k \geqslant 0$ such that $a * a'$ lies in the same path component as*

$$a_0^k = \underbrace{a_0 * \cdots * a_0}_{k}$$

(a_0^0 is the identity element of A).

If A is homotopy commutative, then we say that an element $a_0 \in A$ is anchored *if there exists a homotopy $H\colon A \times A \times I \to A$ such that for all $a \in A$ and all $t \in I$, we have $H_0(a, a') = a * a'$, $H_1(a, a') = a' * a$, and $H_t(a_0^k, a_0^l) = a_0^{k+l}$. Thus H is a homotopy exhibiting the homotopy commutativity of A, and H leaves powers of a_0 fixed at all times.*

We define

$$A_\infty(a_0) = \text{telescope}\left(A \xrightarrow{*a_0} A \xrightarrow{*a_0} A \xrightarrow{*a_0} \cdots\right)$$

where the right-hand side is the infinite mapping telescope of this sequence.

Remark 4.5. *The argument in Ramras* [30, Proof of Corollary 4.4]*, shows that for any group Γ, every element in $Rep_U(\Gamma)$ and* $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)$ *is anchored.*

The main result of this section is the following elementary description of the homotopy groups of certain homotopy group completions.

Proposition 4.6. *If A is a homotopy commutative topological monoid that is stably group-like with respect to an anchored element $a_0 \in A$, then for each $m \geqslant 0$ there are isomorphisms*

$$\pi_m \Omega BA \cong \pi_m A_\infty(a_0) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Pi_m(A)/\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(A)). \tag{15}$$

Proof. The first isomorphism in (15) arises from a weak equivalence

$$\Omega BA \simeq A_\infty(a_0). \tag{16}$$

This is a consequence of the McDuff–Segal version of the Group Completion Theorem [25], discussed in Ramras [30, Theorem 3.6]. (Note that this step uses the assumption that a_0 is anchored, which will not be need for the remainder of the argument.)

We will use the notation $\langle\phi\rangle$ to denote the based homotopy class of a map ϕ and $[\phi]$ to denote its unbased homotopy class, and we write $\phi \simeq \phi'$ to indicate that $[\phi] = [\phi']$. We view $\pi_m(X, x)$ as the group $\langle(S^m, 1), (X, x)\rangle$ of based homotopy classes, with multiplication $\Box$ defined via concatenation in the first coordinate of $(I^m/\partial I^m, [\partial I^m]) \cong (S^m, 1)$. (By convention, $I^0 = *$ and $\partial I^0 = \emptyset$, so $I^0/\partial I^0 \cong S^0$.) For $\phi\colon (S^m, 1) \to (X, x)$ with $m \geqslant 1$, we let $\overline{\phi}$ denote the reverse of ϕ (so that $\langle\overline{\phi}\rangle = \langle\phi\rangle^{-1}$ in $\pi_m(X, x)$).

For each $m \geqslant 0$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\pi_m A_\infty(a_0) \cong \operatorname{colim}\left(\pi_m(A, e) \xrightarrow{*a_0} \pi_m(A, a_0) \xrightarrow{*a_0} \pi_m(A, a_0^2) \xrightarrow{*a_0} \cdots\right),$$

where the maps in the colimit on the right are those induced by (right) multiplication by the constant map c_{a_0}. We will denote the colimit on the right by

$$\operatorname*{colim}_{k\to\infty} \pi_m(A, a_0^k). \tag{17}$$

We view elements in $\operatorname*{colim}_{k\to\infty} \pi_m(A, a_0^k)$ as equivalence classes of pairs $(\langle\phi\rangle, k)$, where $\phi\colon (S^m, 1) \to (A, a_0^k)$. When $m = 0$, we have the natural bijection

$$\pi_0(A, a) = \langle(S^0, 1), (A, a)\rangle \cong [\{-1\}, A] = \pi_0(A)$$

so we can replace $\langle\phi\rangle$ by $[\phi(-1)]$.

When $m = 0$, (17) has a monoid structure defined as follows:

$$([a], k) + ([b], l) = ([a * b], k + l);$$

this monoid structure is in fact a group structure since A is stably group-like with respect to a_0. Moreover, the bijection

$$\pi_0 \Omega BA \cong \operatorname*{colim}_{k\to\infty} \pi_0(A, a_0^k)$$

is a group isomorphism (Ramras [30, Theorem 3.6]). We claim that the homomorphism

$$\begin{tikzcd} \operatorname*{colim}_{k\to\infty} \pi_0(A, a_0^k) \arrow[r, "\phi"] & \Pi_0(A)/\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(A)) \\ ([a], k) \arrow[r, mapsto] & {[(a, a_0^k)]} \end{tikzcd} \tag{18}$$

(where (a, a_0^k) denotes the map $S^0 \to A$ sending -1 to a and 1 to a_0^k) is also an isomorphism. To check surjectivity, note that $\Pi_0(A)$ is generated as a group by elements of the form $[(a,b)]$. For any such element, there exists $b' \in A$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b * b' \simeq a_0^k$, and now $[(a,b)]$ is equivalent, modulo $\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(A))$, to

$$[(a,b)] * [(b',b')] = [(a * b', a_0^k)] \in \mathrm{Im}(\phi).$$

To check injectivity, say $\phi([a],k) = 0$. Then $[(a, a_0^k)] \in \mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(A))$, so there exist $b, c \in A$ such that

$$[(a, a_0^k)] = [(b,b)] - [(c,c)]$$

in $\Pi_0(A)$. Hence there exist $d, e \in A$ such that

$$(a * c * d, a_0^k * c * e) \simeq (b * d, b * e),$$

and it follows that

$$(a * c * d * e, a_0^k * c * e * d) \simeq (b * d * e, b * e * d).$$

Now we have

$$[a * c * d * e] = [b * d * e] = [b * e * d] = [a_0^k * c * e * d].$$

By choice of a_0 there exist $f \in A$ and $l \geqslant 0$ such that $[c * d * e * f] = [a_0^l]$. Now

$$[a * a_0^l] = [a * c * d * e * f] = [a_0^k * c * e * d * f] = [a_0^{k+l}],$$

so

$$([a], k) = ([a * a_0^l], k + l) = ([a_0^{k+l}], k + l) = ([0], 0) = 0 \in \operatorname*{colim}_{k \to \infty} \pi_0(A, a_0^k).$$

In order to obtain the desired isomorphism for $m > 0$, we need an analogue of the classical Eckmann–Hilton argument [10].

Lemma 4.7. *If A is a homotopy commutative topological monoid, then for any $\phi, \psi \colon S^m \to A$ ($m \geqslant 0$) with $\phi(1) = \psi(1) = a$ we have*

$$\phi * \psi \simeq (\phi * c_a) \Box (\psi * c_a) = (\phi \Box \psi) * c_a,$$

where $*$ denotes point-wise multiplication of maps into A, and c_a denotes the constant map $S^m \to \{a\}$.

In particular, for $m \geqslant 1$ we have $\overline{\phi} * \phi \simeq c_{a^2}$.

Proof. Just as in the ordinary Eckmann–Hilton argument, the point is that $*$ is a homomorphism

$$\pi_m(A,a) \times \pi_m(A,a) \xrightarrow{*} \pi_m(A, a^2).$$

In fact, the relevant identity holds on the nose, not just up to homotopy: for any maps $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \colon S^m \to A$, we have

$$(\alpha \Box \beta) * (\alpha' \Box \beta') = (\alpha * \alpha') \Box (\beta * \beta').$$

Now, we have homotopies

$$\phi * \psi \simeq (\phi \Box c_a) * (c_a \Box \psi) = (\phi * c_a) \Box (c_a * \psi) \simeq (\phi * c_a) \Box (\psi * c_a),$$

where the last homotopy uses homotopy commutativity of A. $\Box$

Returning to the proof of Proposition 4.6, we define a homomorphism

$$\Psi \colon \operatorname*{colim}_{k \to \infty} \pi_m(A, a_0^k) \to \Pi_m(A).$$

Given a *based* homotopy class $\langle \phi \rangle \in \pi_m(A, a_0^k)$ for some k, we define

$$\Psi_k(\langle \phi \rangle) = [\phi] - [c_{a_0^k}].$$

This map is well-defined on (based) homotopy classes, and we must check that it is a homomorphism, or in other words that

$$[\phi\Box\phi'] - [c_{a_0^k}] = ([\phi] - [c_{a_0^k}]) + ([\phi'] - [c_{a_0^k}])$$

in the Grothendieck group $\Pi_m(A)$ (we write the operations in $[S^m, A]$ and $\Pi_m(A)$ using $+$). It suffices to check that

$$[\phi\Box\phi'] + [c_{a_0^{2k}}] = [\phi] + [\phi'] + [c_{a_0^k}] \tag{19}$$

in the monoid $[S^m, A]$. By Lemma 4.7 we have

$$(\phi\Box\phi') * c_{a_0^k} = (\phi * c_{a_0^k})\Box(\phi' * c_{a_0^k}) \simeq \phi * \phi',$$

and multiplying by $c_{a_0^k}$ on both sides yields (19).

The maps Ψ_k are compatible with the structure maps for $\operatorname*{colim}_{k\to\infty} \pi_m(A, a_0^k)$:

$$\Psi_{k+1}(\langle\phi\rangle * \langle c_{a_0}\rangle) = [\phi * c_{a_0}] - [c_{a_0^{k+1}}] = [\phi] - [c_{a_0^k}] = \Psi_k(\langle\phi\rangle)$$

in the Grothendieck group $\Pi_m(A)$. Thus we have a well-defined homomorphism

$$\Psi\colon \operatorname*{colim}_{k\to\infty} \pi_m(A, a_0^k) \to \Pi_m(A).$$

We need to check that Ψ is surjective, modulo $\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(A))$. Consider an element $[\phi] - [\phi'] \in \Pi_m(A)$. Since A is stably group-like with respect to a_0, there exist $a, a' \in A$ and $k, k' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $[\phi(1) * a] = [a_0^k]$ and $[\phi'(1) * a'] = [a_0^{k'}]$. Modulo $\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(A))$, we have

$$[\phi] - [\phi'] \equiv [\phi] + [c_a] - ([\phi'] + [c_{a'}])\,,$$

so without loss of generality we may assume that ϕ and ϕ' are based at powers of a_0. We may even assume that they are based at the same power of a_0, say a_0^k (by adding some power of $[c_{a_0}]$ to one of them). We have

$$[\phi] - [\phi'] = [\phi * \overline{\phi'}] - [c_{a_0^{2k}}]$$

in $\Pi_m(A)$, because by Lemma 4.7

$$\phi * c_{a_0^{2k}} \simeq \phi * \overline{\phi'} * \phi'.$$

Since $[\phi * \overline{\phi'}] - [c_{a_0^{2k}}]$ is in the image of Ψ, this shows that the composite

$$\operatorname*{colim}_{k\to\infty} \pi_m(A, a_0^k) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \Pi_m(A) \xrightarrow{q} \Pi_m(A)/\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0 A)$$

is surjective (where q is the quotient map).

Finally, we must show that

$$q \circ \Psi\colon \operatorname*{colim}_{k\to\infty} \pi_m(A, a_0^k) \longrightarrow \Pi_m(A)/\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(A))$$

is injective, or in other words that if $\Psi_k(\langle\phi\rangle) \in \mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(A))$ for some $\langle\phi\rangle \in \pi_m(A, a_0^k)$, then $\langle\phi * c_{a_0^p}\rangle = 0$ in $\pi_m(A, a_0^{k+p})$ for some p. Note that it suffices to produce an unbased nullhomotopy of $\phi * c_{a_0^p}$ inside A.

Say $\Psi_k(\langle\phi\rangle) = [c_a] - [c_{a'}]$ for some $a, a' \in A$. Then $[\phi * c_{a'} * \phi'] = [c_a * c_{a_0^k} * \phi']$ for some $\phi'\colon S^m \to A$. So we have a (possibly unbased) homotopy

$$\phi * c_{a'} * \phi' \simeq c_a * c_{a_0^k} * \phi',$$

and hence (applying Lemma 4.7) we obtain an unbased null-homotopy

$$\begin{aligned} \phi * c_{a'} * c_{\phi'(1)^2} &\simeq \phi * c_{a'} * (\phi' * \overline{\phi'}) = (\phi * c_{a'} * \phi') * \overline{\phi'} \\ &\simeq (c_a * c_{a_0^k} * \phi') * \overline{\phi'} = c_a * c_{a_0^k} * (\phi' * \overline{\phi'}) \\ &\simeq c_a * c_{a_0^k} * c_{\phi'(1)^2} = c_{a * a_0^k * \phi'(1)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Since A is stably group-like with respect to a_0, we can choose $a'' \in A$ such that $[a' * a_0^k * \phi'(1)^2 * a''] = [a_0^l]$ for some l. Now

$$\phi * c_{a_0^l} \simeq \phi * c_{a' * a_0^k * \phi'(1)^2 * a''} \simeq \phi * c_{a'} * c_{\phi'(1)^2} * c_{a_0^k * a''},$$

and the right-hand side is null-homotopic by (20). □

Remark 4.8. *Note that for any map* $\rho\colon (S^m, 1 \to (A, a_0^k)$ $(m \geqslant 1)$ *the isomorphism*

$$\operatorname*{colim}_{k\to\infty} \pi_m(A, a_0^k) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \Pi_m(A) \xrightarrow{q} \Pi_m(A)/\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(A))$$

sends $\langle\phi\rangle \in \pi_m(A, a_0^k)$ *to the equivalence class represented by* $[\phi] = [\phi] - 0$*. In fact, the isomorphism*

$$\operatorname*{colim}_{k\to\infty} \pi_0(A, a_0^k) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Pi_0(A)/\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(A))$$

described in (18) has exactly the same form when written in terms of maps

$$(S^0, 1) \to (A, a_0^k).$$

4.2. **The unitary Atiyah–Segal map for surfaces.** In a number of interesting cases, including fundamental groups of aspherical (possibly non-orientable, possibly with boundary) 2-dimensional surfaces (Ramras [31, Corollaries 4.11 and 4.12]) and certain crystallographic groups (Ramras [29, Proposition 10.5]), the monoid $\mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma)$ is stably group-like with respect to the trivial 1–dimensional representation 1 and hence satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 4.6 with $a_0 = 1$ (note Remark 4.5). Proposition 4.9 could equally well be formulated for general linear representations, but since our examples come from the unitary case we will phrase the results in that context.

If $\mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma)$ is stably group-like with respect to 1, the infinite mapping telescope $\mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma)_\infty(1)$ is weakly equivalent to the corresponding colimit, since the maps

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n+1))$$

given by block sum with 1 are inclusions of real algebraic sets, and hence CW inclusions. This colimit is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U)$, where $U = \operatorname{colim} U(n)$ is the infinite unitary group, and Proposition 4.6 yields isomorphisms

$$KU_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma) \cong \pi_m\left(\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U)\right) \oplus K^{-m}(*).$$

The right-hand side admits a natural map to the K–theory of $B\Gamma$. Specifically, we have the natural map

$$B\colon \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Map}_*(B\Gamma, BU)$$

and for $m \geqslant 0$ this yields

$$B_* \oplus \mathrm{Id}\colon \pi_m\left(\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U)\right) \oplus K^{-m}(*) \longrightarrow \pi_m\left(\mathrm{Map}_*(B\Gamma, BU)\right) \oplus K^{-m}(*).$$

Proposition 4.9. *If Γ is a discrete group with $B\Gamma$ homotopy equivalent to a finite CW complex, and $\mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma)$ is stably group-like with respect to the trivial representation 1, then B_* agrees with the unitary Atiyah–Segal map*

$$KU_*^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow K^{-*}(\Gamma)$$

in the sense that for each $m \geqslant 0$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_m(\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U)) \oplus K^{-m}(*) \arrow[r, "B_*\oplus \mathrm{Id}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"', "\cong"] & \pi_m\left(\mathrm{Map}_*(B\Gamma, BU)\right) \oplus K^{-m}(*) \arrow[d, "\Phi'"', "\cong"] \\
KU_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma) \arrow[r, "\alpha_m"] & K^{-m}(B\Gamma).
\end{tikzcd} \tag{21}$$

Here the basepoints in $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U)$ and $\mathrm{Map}_*(B\Gamma, BU)$ are the trivial representation and the constant map c_* to the basepoint of BU, respectively.

Proof. We begin by defining Φ and Φ'. The map Φ is essentially the isomorphism $q \circ \Psi$ from Remark 4.8. To be precise, we view elements in $\pi_m \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U) \cong \mathrm{colim}_n\, \pi_m(\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n)), I_n)$ as equivalence classes of maps

$$\rho\colon\ (S^m, 1) \to (\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n)), I_n),$$

and we set

$$\Phi(\rho) = [\rho] \in \Pi_m(\mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma))/\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma))) = \widetilde{KU}_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma) \subset KU_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma).$$

For $m > 0$, we define Φ on $K^{-m}(*) \subset \pi_* \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U) \oplus K^{-m}(*)$ to be the inclusion

$$K^{-m}(*) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{KU}_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma) \oplus K^{-m}(*) = KU_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma),$$

while for $m = 0$ we define Φ on $K^{-m}(*)$ using the map

$$K^{-m}(*) \longrightarrow \Pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma))/\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma))) = KU_0^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)$$

given by

$$[\epsilon^n] \mapsto [(I_n, 0)],$$

where ϵ^n denotes a trivial rank n bundle and $(I_n, 0)\colon\ S^0 \to \mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma)$ is the map $-1 \mapsto I_n$, $1 \mapsto 0$.

The map Φ' is defined on $\langle f \rangle \in \pi_m(\mathrm{Map}_*(B\Gamma, BU(n)))$ by

$$\Phi'(\langle f \rangle) = [(f^\vee)^*(EU(n))] - [\epsilon^n] \in \widetilde{K}^{-m}(B\Gamma),$$

where $f^\vee\colon\ S^m \wedge B\Gamma \to BU(n)$ is the adjoint of f. (Since $B\Gamma$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW complex, each map $S^m \wedge B\Gamma \to BU$ is homotopic to a map $S^m \wedge B\Gamma \to BU(n)$ for some n.) We extend Φ' to $K^{-m}(*) \subset \pi_m\left(\mathrm{Map}_*(B\Gamma, BU)\right) \oplus K^{-m}(*)$ using the inclusion $K^{-m}(*) \hookrightarrow K^{-m}(B\Gamma)$.

We now check commutativity of Diagram (21). For any map

$$\rho\colon\ (S^m, 1) \to (\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n)), I_n),$$

we have

$$\alpha_m \circ \Phi(\rho) = (\pi^*)^{-1}([E_\rho] - [E_{\widetilde{\rho(1)}}]) = (\pi^*)^{-1}([E_\rho] - [\epsilon^n]) \tag{22}$$

(note that $\widetilde{\rho(1)}$ is the constant family $z \mapsto I_n$).

On the other hand,

$$(\Phi' \circ B_*)(\rho) = ((B \circ \rho)^\vee)^*(EU(n)) - [\epsilon^n] \in \widetilde{K}^0(S^m \wedge B\Gamma). \tag{23}$$

Since $(B \circ \rho)^\vee \circ \pi$ is precisely the map u_ρ appearing in Lemma 3.6, the image of (23) under π^* is $[E_\rho] - [\epsilon^n]$, and hence

$$(\Phi' \circ B_*)(\rho) = (\pi^*)^{-1}([E_\rho] - [\epsilon^n]) = \alpha_m \circ \Phi(\rho).$$

Commutativity for classes in $K^{-m}(*)$ follows immediately from the definitions. □

Corollary 4.10. *Let $\Gamma = \pi_1\Sigma$, where Σ is a closed, aspherical surface. Then the topological Atiyah–Segal map α_m is an isomorphism for $* \geqslant 0$ if Σ is non-orientable, and α_m is an isomorphism for $* > 0$ if Σ is closed and orientable.*

If Γ is a finitely generated free group, then α_m is an isomorphism for $* \geqslant 0$.

This follows from Proposition 4.9 together with the corresponding results regarding the map B, which were discussed for surfaces in the proof of Proposition 3.8. For finitely generated free groups, the result for B is more elementary, as discussed in Ramras [33, Proof of Theorem 4.3].

The computations in [op. cit., Section 6] (together with Theorem 4.15 below) provide abstract isomorphisms

$$KU_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma) \cong K^{-m}(B\Gamma)$$

for $m > \mathbb{Q}cd(\Gamma) - 2$ whenever Γ is a *product* of (aspherical) surface groups. As discussed in the next section, the low-dimensional discrepancy in these computations is a general phenomenon that is closely related to Theorem 3.5, but in higher dimensions these computations raise the following question.

Question 4.11. *If Γ is a* product *of aspherical surface groups, is the topological Atiyah–Segal map*

$$\alpha_m \colon KU_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow K^{-m}(B\Gamma)$$

an isomorphism for $m > \mathbb{Q}cd(\Gamma) - 2$?

Remark 4.12. *For general discrete groups, the topological Atiyah–Segal map cannot be expected to be well-behaved even in high dimensions. For instance, the groups discussed in the introduction to Ramras [31] have no non-trivial linear representations, yet have non-trivial K–theory. In another direction, consider the integral Heisenberg group H (consisting of 3×3 upper triangular matrices with 1's on the diagonal). Results of Lawson (see [23] and [24]), along with Theorem 4.15 below, show that $KU_*^{\mathrm{rep}}(H)$ is an infinite rank free abelian group in all dimensions $* \geqslant 0$. But $BH \simeq \mathbb{R}^3/H$ is a closed, 3-dimensional manifold, so $K^*(BH)$ is finitely generated and the topological Atiyah–Segal map is quite far from being an isomorphism, even for $* >> 0$.*

4.3. The low-dimensional behavior of the topological Atiyah–Segal map.

Our results on characteristic classes have the following consequence for the low-dimensional behavior of the topological Atiyah–Segal map.

Theorem 4.13. *Let Γ be a discrete group whose classifying space $B\Gamma$ has the homotopy type of a finite, d–dimensional CW complex. Then the cokernel of*

$$\alpha_m : K_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma) \to K^{-m}(B\Gamma)$$

has rank at least $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{m+2i}(\Gamma)$. In particular, this map fails to be surjective in dimensions $m \leqslant \mathbb{Q}cd(\Gamma) - 2$.

Similarly, for $n \geqslant (m+d)/2$ and $\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$, the cokernel of

$$B_* : \pi_m\left(\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})), \rho\right) \to \pi_m\left(\mathrm{Map}_*(B\Gamma, B\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})), B\rho\right)$$

has rank at least $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{m+2i}(\Gamma)$.

We'll need a lemma, which is probably well-known. We will write c_i for the ith Chern class, considered as a *rational* cohomology class, and we will write Ch for the Chern character.

Lemma 4.14. *Let X be a finite CW complex. Given $m > 0$ and $x \in H^{2i}(S^m \wedge X; \mathbb{Q})$, there exists a class $\phi \in K^0(S^m \wedge X)$ such that $c_i(\phi) = qx$ for some non-zero rational number $q \in \mathbb{Q}^*$, and $c_j(\phi) = 0$ for $j \neq i$.*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Ch}\colon K^0(S^m \wedge X) \to H^{\mathrm{even}}(S^m \wedge X; \mathbb{Q})$ is a rational isomorphism, there exists $\phi \in K^0(S^m \wedge X)$ such that $\mathrm{Ch}(\phi) = qx$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Q}^*$. By definition, $\mathrm{Ch}(\phi)$ is a polynomial in the Chern classes of ϕ, but since all cup products in $H^*(S^m \wedge X; \mathbb{Q})$ are zero, $\mathrm{Ch}(\phi)$ is just a rational linear combination of the Chern classes of ϕ and the result follows. □

Proof of Theorem 4.13. For $m = 0$, the result follows from the Chern character isomorphism together with the fact that all Chern classes c_i $(i > 0)$ of flat bundles are rationally trivial.

Now assume $m > 0$. For each $i \geqslant 1$, choose a $\mathbb{Q}$–basis

$$\{a_{ij}\}_j \subset H^{m+2i}(B\Gamma; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^{2m+2i}(S^m \wedge B\Gamma; \mathbb{Q}).$$

By Lemma 4.14, there exist classes $A_{ij} \in K^0(S^m \wedge B\Gamma)$ such that

$$c_l(A_{ij}) = \begin{cases} q_{ij} a_{ij} \text{ for some } q_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}^*, & \text{if } l = m+i, \\ 0, & \text{if } l \neq m+i. \end{cases}$$

Set

$$A = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\{A_{ij}\}_{i,j}) \subset K^0(S^m \wedge B\Gamma).$$

Since $\{\mathrm{Ch}(A_{ij})\}_{i,j} = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, A is a free abelian group of rank $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{m+2i}(\Gamma)$.

We claim that $A \cap \mathrm{Im}(\alpha_m) = \{0\}$. If $x = \sum_{i,j} n_{ij} A_{ij}$ is a non-trivial linear combination of the A_{ij}, then

$$\mathrm{Ch}(x) = \sum_{i,j} n_{ij} Ch(A_{ij}) = \sum_{i,j} n_{ij} q_{ij} a_{ij} \neq 0.$$

Note that $\mathrm{Ch}(x)$ lies in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} H^{2m+2i}(S^m \wedge B\Gamma; \mathbb{Q})$, and as observed above $\mathrm{Ch}(x)$ is a rational linear combination of the Chern classes $c_i(x)$. Hence

$$c_{m+i}(x) \in H^{2m+2i}(S^m \wedge B\Gamma; \mathbb{Q})$$

must be non-zero for some $i > 0$.

To show that $x \notin \mathrm{Im}(\alpha_m)$, it now suffices to show that classes $y \in \mathrm{Im}(\alpha_m)$ have $c_{m+i}(y) = 0$ in $H^{2m+2i}(S^m \wedge B\Gamma; \mathbb{Q})$ for $i > 0$. Any such y is represented by (formal differences between) bundles $E \to S^m \wedge B\Gamma$ such that the pull back $\pi^* E \to S^m \times B\Gamma$ is isomorphic to E_ρ for some S^m–family of representations ρ. Theorem 3.5 implies that $c_{m+i}(E_\rho) \in H^*(S^m \times B\Gamma; \mathbb{Q})$ is trivial for $i > 0$, and since the natural map

$$H^*(S^m \wedge B\Gamma; \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^*(S^m \times B\Gamma; \mathbb{Q})$$

is injective, we see that $c_{m+i}(E) \in H^*(S^m \wedge B\Gamma; \mathbb{Q})$ is zero as well. We now have an injective map

$$A \hookrightarrow \mathrm{coker}(\alpha_m),$$

proving the first statement in the theorem.

The second statement in the theorem follows similarly, using description of the classifying map for E_ρ given in Lemma 3.6. The dimension bound comes from the fact that $B\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to B\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}\mathbb{C}$ is $(2n+1)$–connected, and hence $\mathrm{Map}_*(B\Gamma, B\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})) \to \mathrm{Map}_*(B\Gamma, B\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}\mathbb{C})$ is $(2n+1-d)$–connected, which guarantees isomorphisms

$$\pi_m \mathrm{Map}_*(B\Gamma, B\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})) \cong \pi_m \mathrm{Map}_*(B\Gamma, B\mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})) \cong K^{-m}(B\Gamma) \tag{24}$$

(for any compatible basepoints) for $0 < m \leqslant 2n - d$ (when $m = 0$, one must replace $K^{-m}(B\Gamma)$ by $\widetilde{K}^{-m}(B\Gamma)$ on the right-hand side of (24)). □

Example 4.20 below shows that the image of the (unitary) topological Atiyah–Segal map cannot be characterized simply in terms of Chern classes, even in dimension zero: there exist bundles $E \to X$ (with X a finite CW complex) such that $c_i(E)$ is torsion for each $i > 0$ and yet E is not in the image of α_0 (i.e. E is not a flat bundle).

4.4. **Deformation K–theory.** We can use Proposition 4.6 to describe the homotopy groups of Carlsson's deformation K–theory spectrum $K^{\mathrm{def}}(\Gamma)$, for any finitely generated group Γ. As defined in Ramras [30], this is a connective Ω–spectrum with zeroth space

$$\Omega^\infty K^{\mathrm{def}}(\Gamma) \simeq \Omega B \left(\coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n))_{hU(n)} \right),$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n))_{hU(n)}$ is the homotopy orbit space (Borel construction) associated to the conjugation action of $U(n)$, and the bar construction is formed using the monoid structure induced by block sum. We denote this monoid by $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)_{hU}$. There is an analogous general linear version.

Theorem 4.15. *For any finitely generated group Γ there are isomorphisms*

$$\pi_m K^{\mathrm{def}}(\Gamma) \cong KU_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)$$

for each $m \geqslant 0$. Similar isomorphisms exist in the general linear case.

Proof. We treat the case $m > 0$; the proof for $m = 0$ is similar but simpler.

First we establish isomorphisms

$$\pi_m \Omega B \mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma) \cong \widetilde{KU}_m^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma) = \Pi_m(\mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma))/\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma))).$$

Consider the filtration of $\mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma)$ by the submonoids $\mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma)$ generated by representations of dimension at most k. For each k, $\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma))$ is finitely generated, because $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n))$ is compact and hence $\pi_0(\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n)))$ is finite (in the general linear case, one uses the fact that $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is a real algebraic set and hence has finitely many connected components by Whitney [39]). Choosing representatives $[\rho_{n,1}], \ldots, [\rho_{n,l_n}]$ for $\pi_0(\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n)))$, we see that $\pi_0(\mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma))$ is generated by

$$\{[\rho_{n,i}] \ : \ n \leqslant k,\, i \leqslant l_n\}$$

and hence $\mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma)$ is stably group-like with respect to the sum

$$\psi_k = \bigoplus_{n \leqslant k} \bigoplus_{i \leqslant l_n} \rho_{n,i}.$$

Since $\mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma)$ is a union of path components in $\mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma)$, it follows that $\mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma)$ is homotopy commutative and the basepoint ψ_k is anchored (see Ramras [30, Lemma 5.2]). Note that

$$[\psi_{k+1}] = [\psi_k] \oplus [\psi'_k]$$

where

$$[\psi'_k] = \bigoplus_i [\rho_{k+1,i}]. \tag{25}$$

Proposition 4.6 now yields isomorphisms

$$\pi_m \Omega B \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_m \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma)_\infty(\psi_k) \xrightarrow{\cong} \frac{\Pi_m(\mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma))}{\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0 \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma))}. \tag{26}$$

We need to check that these isomorphisms are compatible as we increase k. The inclusions

$$\mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k+1}(\Gamma)$$

induce maps

$$\Omega B \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{i_k} \Omega B \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k+1}(\Gamma) \tag{27}$$

and

$$\frac{\Pi_m(\mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma))}{\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0 \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma))} \xrightarrow{j_k} \frac{\Pi_m(\mathrm{Rep}_{U,k+1}(\Gamma))}{\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0 \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k+1}(\Gamma))}. \tag{28}$$

We claim that the isomorphisms (26) yield commutative diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_m \Omega B \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma) \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[d, "i_k"] & \pi_m \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma)_\infty(\psi_k) \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \frac{\Pi_m(\mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma))}{\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0 \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma))} \arrow[d, "j_k"] \\
\pi_m \Omega B \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k+1}(\Gamma) \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \pi_m \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k+1}(\Gamma)_\infty(\psi_{k+1}) \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \frac{\Pi_m(\mathrm{Rep}_{U,k+1}(\Gamma))}{\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0 \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k+1}(\Gamma))},
\end{tikzcd} \tag{29}$$

for each $k \geqslant 0$.

The proof of commutativity will follow the arguments in Ramras [30, Section 3] (which are based on the McDuff–Segal approach to the Group Completion Theorem [25]). We will assume familiarity with these sources in the following discussion. Given a topological monoid M, recall that BM is the nerve of the category (internal to topological spaces) with one object $*$ and with M as its space of morphisms, with composition given by $m \circ n = m \oplus n$. So BM is a simplicial space, and by abuse of notation we will also write BM for the geometric realization of the nerve. If M is homotopy commutative (with a non-degenerate identity element) and M is stably group-like with respect to an anchored element $x \in M$, the isomorphism

$$\pi_m \Omega BM \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_m M_\infty(x)$$

is induced by a zig-zag of weak equivalences of the form

$$\Omega BM \longrightarrow \mathrm{hofib}(q(M,m)) \longleftarrow M_\infty(m),$$

where $\mathrm{hofib}(q(M,m))$ is the homotopy fiber of a certain map defined in terms of M and m.

We will now construct a homotopy commutative diagram of spaces of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[d, "f_n"] \arrow[drr, "i_n"] & & \\
\Omega BM \arrow[r] & \mathrm{hofib}(q(M,m)) & M_\infty(m), \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{30}$$

for each $n \geqslant 0$. The map $i_n\colon M \to M_\infty(m)$ is simply the inclusion of M into the nth stage of the mapping telescope (so $i_n(x) = (x, n, 0)$ in the notation from [30]). To describe f_n, recall that there are natural maps

$$X \longrightarrow \Omega\Sigma X$$

(for any space X) and

$$\Omega\Sigma M \longrightarrow \Omega BM$$

(for any topological monoid M). The first map is simply the adjoint of the quotient map $X \times I \to \Sigma X = X \times I/\sim$, where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation $(x, 0) \sim (y, 0)$ and $(x, 1) \sim (y, 1)$ for all $x, y \in X$. The second map is induced by the natural map $M \times I \to BM$, resulting from the fact that the simplicial space underlying BM has M as its space of 1-simplices. The composite

$$M \longrightarrow \Omega\Sigma M \longrightarrow \Omega BM$$

sends each $m \in M$ to a loop γ_m in BM, and we define

$$\alpha\colon\ M \to \Omega BM$$

to be the map sending $m \in M$ to the reverse loop $\alpha(m) = \gamma_m$.[6] The map f_n is now defined by

$$f_n(x) = \overline{\alpha(m^n)} \square \alpha(x). \tag{31}$$

Lemma 4.16. *Diagram (30) is homotopy commutative.*

Lemma 4.16 is proven using the argument at the end of the proof of Ramras [30, Theorem 3.6]. That argument shows that the diagram commutes after passing to connected components, and it is routine to check that the paths constructed there give rise to a continuous homotopy into $\mathrm{hofib}(q(M, m))$. (Note that the homotopy fiber of a map $f\colon X \to Y$ is a subspace of $X \times \mathrm{Map}(I, Y)$, so one may check continuity of a map $Z \to \mathrm{hofib}(f)$ by examining the adjoint map $Z \times I \to X \times Y$.)

We will apply Lemma 4.16 to the monoids $R_k := \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma)$ and $R_{k+1} := \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k+1}(\Gamma)$. We define a map $p_n\colon R_k \to R_{k+1}$ by the formula

$$p_n(\rho) = \rho \oplus (\psi'_k)^n,$$

where ψ'_k was defined in (25). We claim that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R_k \arrow[r, "f_{n,k}"] \arrow[d, "p_n"] & \Omega BR_k \arrow[d, "i_k"] \\
R_{k+1} \arrow[r, "f_{n,k+1}"] & \Omega BR_{k+1}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{32}$$

---

[6] Our conventions on geometric realization come from Milnor [26], and the map $M \times I \to BM$ is induced by the homeomorphism $I = [0, 1] \to \Delta^1 = \{(t_0, t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, 0 = t_0 \leqslant t_1 \leqslant t_1 = 1\}$ given by $t \mapsto (0, t, 1)$.

is homotopy commutative, where the maps $f_{n,k}$ and $f_{n,k+1}$ are defined as in (31). We have

$$i_k \circ f_{n,k}(\rho) = \overline{\alpha\left((\psi_k)^n\right)} \Box \alpha(\rho)$$

and

$$f_{n,k+1} \circ p_n(\rho) = \overline{\alpha\left((\psi_{k+1})^n\right)} \Box \alpha\left(\rho \oplus (\psi'_k)^n\right) = \overline{\alpha\left((\psi_k \oplus \psi'_k)^n\right)} \Box \alpha\left(\rho \oplus (\psi'_k)^n\right).$$

The desired homotopy $i_k \circ f_{n,k} \simeq f_{n,k+1} \circ p_n$ is constructed using the following well-known, elementary lemmas.

Lemma 4.17. *For any based space $(X, *)$, the maps*

$$\Omega X \longrightarrow \Omega X \times \Omega X \xrightarrow{\Box} \Omega X$$

sending a loop η to the loop $\overline{\eta}\Box\eta$ or to the loop $\eta\Box\overline{\eta}$ are homotopic to the constant map sending all loops to the constant loop c_*.

Lemma 4.18. *Let $(M, \oplus)$ be a topological monoid. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} M \times M \arrow[r, "\oplus"] \arrow[d, "\alpha"] & M \arrow[d, "\alpha"] \\ \Omega BM \times \Omega BM \arrow[r, "\Box"] & \Omega BM \end{tikzcd} \tag{33}$$

is homotopy commutative. Moreover, if M is homotopy commutative, then the maps

$$M \times M \to \Omega BM$$

given by $(m, n) \mapsto \alpha(m)\Box\alpha(n)$ and $(m, n) \mapsto \alpha(n)\Box\alpha(m)$ are homotopic.

Proof. The space of 2-simplices in BM as $M \times M$, where (m, n) corresponds to the sequence of composable morphisms $* \xrightarrow{n} * \xrightarrow{m} *$.

We will describe the desired homotopy $M \times M \times I \to \Omega BM$ by specifying its adjoint

$$M \times M \times I \times I \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_M \times \mathrm{Id}_M \times H} M \times M \times \Delta^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} BM.$$

We use Milnor's conventions [26] regarding simplices and geometric realization, so

$$\Delta^2 = \{(t_1, t_2) \in I \times I \,:\, t_1 \leqslant t_2\},$$

and the map π is induced by the definition of realization. The map H is defined by

$$H(s,t) = \begin{cases} 2s\vec{w}_t & \text{if } 0 \leqslant s \leqslant 1/2 \\ (2s-1)(1,1) + (2-2s)\vec{w}_t & \text{if } 1/2 \leqslant s \leqslant 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\vec{w}_t = (t/2, 1-t/2) \in \Delta^2$, and one may check that it has the desired properties. This proves commutativity of (33).

To prove the second statement of the lemma, note that commutativity of (33) means that $(m, n) \mapsto \alpha(m)\Box\alpha(n)$ is homotopic to $(m, n) \mapsto \alpha(m \oplus n)$, and homotopy commutativity of M yields a homotopy from this map to $(m, n) \mapsto \alpha(n \oplus m)$. Applying commutativity of (33) again, $(m, n) \mapsto \alpha(n \oplus m)$ is homotopic to $(m, n) \mapsto \alpha(n)\Box\alpha(m)$. □

Consider the following diagram, where the maps are induced by those in Diagrams (29), (30), and (32). The notation has been abbreviated, and in the top row $[S^m, X]_x$ denotes the set of unbased homotopy classes of maps $S^m \to X$ landing in the component of $x \in X$.

(34)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{[S^m, R_k]_{\psi_k^n}} \arrow[r, "(f_{n,k})_*"] \arrow[rrr, bend left, "(p_n)_*"] \arrow[rdd, "(i_{n,k})_*"'] & \pi_m \Omega B R_k \arrow[r, "(i_k)_*"] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \pi_m \Omega B R_{k+1} \arrow[d, "\cong"'] & {[S^m, R_{k+1}]_{\psi_{k+1}^n}} \arrow[l, "(f_{n,k+1})_*"'] \arrow[ldd, "(i_{n,k+1})_*"] \\
 & \pi_m \mathrm{hofib}_k & \pi_m \mathrm{hofib}_{k+1} & \\
 & \pi_m (R_k)_\infty \arrow[u, "\cong"] \arrow[d, "\Psi_k"] & \pi_m (R_{k+1})_\infty \arrow[u, "\cong"'] \arrow[d, "\Psi_{k+1}"] & \\
 & \Pi_m(R_k)/\pi_0 \arrow[r, "j_k"] & \Pi_m(R_{k+1})/\pi_0. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since the basepoint component of ΩBX is a simple space for any X (Spanier [36, Theorem 7.3.9]), so are the basepoint components of the weakly equivalent spaces $\mathrm{hofib}_k = \mathrm{hofib}(q(R_k, \psi_k)$ and $(R_k)_\infty = (R_k)_\infty(\psi_k)$ (and similarly with k replaced by $k+1$). For any space X such that the component of $x \in X$ is simple, the natural map $\pi_m(X, x) \to [S^m, X]_x$ is a bijection. Moreover, if (X, x) and (Y, y) are based spaces whose basepoint components are simple, and if $f, g\colon X \to Y$ are homotopic maps such that $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are in the path component of y (for some $x \in X$ and some $y \in Y$), then we have $f_* = g_*$ both as maps $[S^m, X]_x \to [S^m, Y]_y$ and (consequently) as maps $\pi_m(X, x) \to \pi_m(Y, y)$. The diagram above, then, may be thought of as induced by a commutative diagram of sets of the form $[S^m, -]_*$. In particular, the basepoints for the homotopy groups appearing in the diagram can be taken to be any points in the components of the images of ψ_k^n and ψ_{k+1}^n.

We need to prove the rectangle in the middle of Diagram (34) commutes. We know from the above discussion that the triangles on the left and right are commutative, and that

$$(f_{n,k+1})_* \circ (p_n)_* = (i_k)_* \circ (f_{n,k})_*.$$

We claim that the outer circuit commutes, or in other words that

$$\Psi_{k+1} \circ (i_{n,k+1})_* \circ (p_n)_* = j_k \circ \Psi_k \circ (i_{n,k})_*$$

as maps

$$\pi_m R_k \longrightarrow \Pi_m(R_{k+1})/\pi_0 = \Pi_m(R_{k+1})/\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(R_{k+1})).$$

Indeed, for any $\eta\colon (S^m, 1) \to (R_k, \psi_k^n)$ we have (using Remark 4.8)

$$\Psi_{k+1} \circ (i_{n,k+1})_* \circ (p_n)_*([\eta]) = [\eta \oplus (\psi_k')^n]$$

where $\eta \oplus (\psi_k')^n$ is the map

$$S^m \xrightarrow{(\eta, c_{(\psi_k')^n})} R_k \times R_k \xrightarrow{\oplus} R_k.$$

On the other hand,

$$j_k \circ \Psi_k \circ (i_{n,k})_*[\eta] = [\eta].$$

By definition of the addition in $\Pi_m(R_{k+1})$,

$$[\eta \oplus (\psi'_k)^n] = [\eta] + [c_{(\psi'_k)^n}],$$

which is equivalent to $[\eta] = j_k \circ \Psi_k \circ (i_{n,k})_*[\eta]$ modulo $\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0(R_{k+1}))$. Commutativity of the rectangle in the middle of Diagram (34) now follows (after a diagram chase) from the fact that for each class $x \in \pi_m(R_k)_\infty(\psi_k)$, there exists $N \geqslant 0$ such that x is in the image of $(i_{n,k})_*$ for $n > N$.

Since $\mathrm{Rep}_{U,n}(\Gamma) \to \mathrm{Rep}_{U,n+1}(\Gamma)$ is an inclusion of Hausdorff spaces and each map $S^m \to \mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma)$ lies in $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n)) \subset \mathrm{Rep}_{U,n}(\Gamma)$ for some n, we have

$$\widetilde{K}^{\mathrm{rep}}_m(\Gamma) = \operatorname*{colim}_k \Pi_m \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma)/\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0 \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma))$$

and similarly

$$\pi_m \Omega B \mathrm{Rep}_U \Gamma = \operatorname*{colim}_k \pi_m \Omega B \mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma).$$

Taking colimits as $k \to \infty$ in the columns of (29) now yields isomorphisms

$$\pi_m \Omega B \mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Pi_m \mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma)/\mathrm{Gr}(\pi_0 \mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma)) = \widetilde{K}^{\mathrm{rep}}_m(\Gamma),$$

as desired.

To obtain isomorphisms between

$$\pi_m K^{\mathrm{def}}(\Gamma) = \pi_m \Omega B \left(\coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n))_{hU(n)} \right)$$

and

$$\cong KU^{\mathrm{rep}}_m(\Gamma) = \widetilde{KU}^{\mathrm{rep}}_m(\Gamma) \oplus K^{-m}(*),$$

it remains only to show that (for $m > 0$)

$$\pi_m \Omega B \left(\coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n))_{hU(n)} \right) \cong \pi_m \Omega B \left(\coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n)) \right) \oplus K^{-m}(*). \tag{35}$$

Consider the maps

$$\Omega B \mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{i} \Omega B \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)_{hU} \xrightarrow{q} \Omega B \mathrm{Rep}(\{1\})_{hU},$$

where q is induced by the inclusion $\{1\} \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ and i is the inclusion of the fiber over the constant loop at the basepoint. Note that q is split by the map induced by the projection $\Gamma \to \{1\}$, so there is an induced splitting

$$\pi_m \Omega B \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)_{hU} \cong \ker(q_*) \oplus \pi_m \Omega B \mathrm{Rep}(\{1\})_{hU} \cong \ker(q_*) \oplus \pi_m \Omega B \left(\coprod_n BU(n) \right)$$

and by the Group Completion Theorem along with Bott periodicity, we have

$$\pi_m \Omega B \left(\coprod_n BU(n) \right) \cong \pi_m(\mathbb{Z} \times BU) \cong K^{-m}(*).$$

Hence to complete the proof, it suffices to show that i_* is injective with $\mathrm{Im}(i_*) = \ker(q_*)$. To this end, we again consider the filtration $\mathrm{Rep}_{U,k}(\Gamma)$ of $\mathrm{Rep}_U(\Gamma)$. Since these submonoids are invariant under conjugation by the unitary groups, we have an associated filtration $\mathrm{Rep}_k(\Gamma)_{hU}$ of $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)_{hU}$, and by Ramras [30, Corollary 4.4], these submonoids are stably group-like with respect to the (anchored) elements

$[\psi_k, *]$ (where $*$ denotes the basepoint of $EU(\dim(\psi_k))$). We can now consider the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_m \Omega B\mathrm{Rep}_k(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{(i_k)_*} \pi_m \Omega B\mathrm{Rep}_k(\Gamma)_{hU} \xrightarrow{(q_k)_*} \pi_m \Omega B\mathrm{Rep}(\{1\})_{hU}. \tag{36}$$

The maps q_k are split (just as with q), and hence it suffices to check that the sequence (36) is exact for each k. This follows by considering the isomorphic sequence formed by the mapping telescopes associated to block sum with ψ_k (on $\mathrm{Rep}_k(\Gamma)$), $[\psi_k, *]$ (on $\mathrm{Rep}_k(\Gamma)_{hU}$), and $[\dim(\psi_k), *]$ (on $\mathrm{Rep}_k(\{1\})_{hU}$), and noting that each individual map

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n))_{hU(n)} \longrightarrow \{*\}_{hU(n)} = BU(n)$$

is a (split) fibration with fiber $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n))$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.15. ☐

Remark 4.19. *Lawson* [23] *showed that the spectrum $K^{\mathrm{def}}(\Gamma)$ is stably equivalent to a commutative ring spectrum. Hence $\pi_* K^{\mathrm{def}}(\Gamma)$ is a graded ring (in fact, a graded algebra over $\pi_*(\mathbf{ku})$, where* **ku** *is the connective K–theory spectrum). By Theorem 4.15, we can transport this structure to $KU_*^{\mathrm{rep}}(\Gamma)$. This raises two questions. First, is there an explicit description of the multiplication on KU_*^{rep} in terms of tensor products? Lawson's ring structure is induced by tensor products, but the point-wise tensor product of an S^n–family with an S^m–family is an $S^n \times S^m$–family, rather than an $S^{n+m} \cong S^n \wedge S^m$–family. More concretely, is the topological Atiyah–Segal map a homomorphism of $\pi_*(\mathbf{ku})$–algebras?*

We now give the example promised at the end of Section 4.3.

Example 4.20. *Consider the Klein bottle $\mathbb{RP}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2$. The K–theory of*

$$(\mathbb{RP}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2)^3 = (\mathbb{RP}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2) \times (\mathbb{RP}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2) \times (\mathbb{RP}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2)$$

may be calculated using the Künneth theorem [3], *and one finds that*

$$K^0\left((\mathbb{RP}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2)^3\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{14}.$$

On the other hand,

$$KU_0^{\mathrm{rep}}\left(\pi_1(\mathbb{RP}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2)^3\right) \cong \pi_0 K^{\mathrm{def}}(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^7.$$

The first isomorphism is Theorem 4.15, and the second follows from the methods in Ramras [33, Section 6]*. (In particular, this computation relies on Lawson's product formula* [23]*, which is an analogue of the Künneth theorem for deformation K–theory.) These computations show there are 2–torsion classes in $K^0\left((\mathbb{RP}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2)^3\right)$ that are not in the image of α_0.*

In general, if X is a finite CW complex and $x \in K^0(X)$ is torsion, then $c_i(x)$ is torsion for each $i > 0$ (this may be proven by induction on i, using the Whitney sum formula). Hence the image of α_0 does not consist simply of those classes whose Chern classes are torsion. In particular, there exist principal $U(n)$–bundles $E \to (\mathbb{RP}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2)^3$, with $c_i(E)$ torsion for all $i > 0$, which do not admit a flat $U(n)$–connection.

5. The space of flat connections

In this section, we apply our results to study the homotopy type of the space of flat connections on a principal $U(n)$–bundle over a compact, aspherical, smooth manifold.

5.1. **Homotopy theoretical background.** We now review some basic facts and definitions regarding homotopy pullbacks. While this material is essentially well-known (see, for instance [14] or [18]), we will be dealing with disconnected spaces, so some care with basepoints is needed.

Definition 5.1. *The homotopy pullback of a diagram*

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xleftarrow{g} Z$$

is the space

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(X \to Y \leftarrow Z) = \{(x, \gamma, z) \in X \times Y^I \times Z \,|\, \gamma(0) = f(x), \gamma(1) = g(z)\},$$

topologized as a subspace of $X \times Y^I \times Z$, where $Y^I = \mathrm{Map}([0,1], Y)$. Given a commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd} W \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "h"] & Z \arrow[d, "g"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd} \quad , \tag{37}$$

there is a natural map $W \to \mathcal{P}$. If this map is a weak equivalence (meaning that it induces an isomorphism on homotopy groups for all compatible choices of basepoints), we call the diagram (37) homotopy cartesian*.*

Definition 5.2. *The homotopy fiber of a continuous map $f\colon X \to Y$ at a point $y \in Y$ is the space*

$$\mathrm{hofib}_y(f) = \mathcal{P}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \leftarrow \{y\}).$$

For any compatible choice of basepoints, there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \pi_* \mathrm{hofib}_y(f) \longrightarrow \pi_* X \longrightarrow \pi_* Y \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{*-1} \mathrm{hofib}(f) \longrightarrow \cdots \tag{38}$$

resulting from the fact that $\mathrm{hofib}_y(f)$ is the fiber (over y) of the fibration

$$P_f = \mathcal{P}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Id}} Y) \xrightarrow{p_f} Y$$

associated to f (here p_f is the projection to the last factor).

We note that if Y is disconnected, then $\mathrm{hofib}_y(f)$ depends only on the inverse image, under f, of the path component $[y]$ of Y containing y:

$$\mathrm{hofib}_y(f) = \mathrm{hofib}_y(f|_{f^{-1}([y])}). \tag{39}$$

This will be relevant in our discussion of representation spaces, which are often disconnected.

Proposition 5.3. *The square (37) is homotopy cartesian if and only if the natural map $\mathrm{hofib}_x(h) \to \mathrm{hofib}_{f(x)}(g)$ is a weak equivalence for each $x \in X$.*

This may be proven by noting that there is a natural homeomorphism

$$\mathrm{hofib}_{(x,\gamma,z)}(W \to \mathcal{P}) \cong \mathrm{hofib}_{(z,\overline{\gamma})}\big(\mathrm{hofib}_x(h) \to \mathrm{hofib}_{f(x)}(g)\big)$$

for each point $(x, \gamma, z) \in \mathcal{P}$. Here $\overline{\gamma}$ denotes the path $\overline{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(1-t)$.

The following standard fact follows from Hirschhorn [19, Theorems 13.1.11 and 13.3.4].

Proposition 5.4. *A diagram of spaces*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"] & Y \arrow[d, "g"] & Z \arrow[l] \arrow[d, "h"] \\
X' \arrow[r] & Y' & Z' \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

induces a map $\Phi : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}'$ between the homotopy pullbacks of the rows, and if f, g, and h are weak equivalences, so is Φ.

5.2. **Maps between classifying spaces and flat connections.**

Consider a principal $U(n)$–bundle $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ over a compact, connected, aspherical, smooth manifold M of dimension d, and fix basepoints $e_0 \in E$ and $m_0 \in M$ satisfying $\pi(e_0) = m_0$. We will (implicitly) work with connections on E of Sobolev class L^p_k for some fixed constants $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\min(d/2, 4/3) < p < \infty$, $k \geqslant 2$, and $kp > m$. We will, similarly, work with the group of gauge transformations of E of Sobolev class L^p_{k+1}. With these assumptions, the based gauge group $\mathcal{G}_0(E)$ acts continuously on the space $\mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E)$ of flat unitary connections, with quotient homeomorphic to $\text{Hom}(\pi_1 M, U(n))$ (this uses the Strong Uhlenbeck Compactness Theorem), and this quotient map may be identified with the holonomy map

$$\mathcal{H}\colon\ \mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\pi_1 M, U(n)),$$

sending a flat connection A to the holonomy representation of A computed at $e_0 \in E$. A more detailed discussion of these points may be found in Ramras [31, Section 3].

We want to compute the homotopy fibers of the natural map

$$B = B_\Gamma\colon\ \text{Hom}(\Gamma, BU(n)) \longrightarrow \text{Map}_*(B\Gamma, BU(n)), \tag{40}$$

where $\Gamma = \pi_1(M, m_0)$ and $B(\rho) = B\rho$ is the map between (simplicial) classifying spaces induced by the homomorphism ρ. Choose inverse homotopy equivalences $f\colon M \to B\Gamma$ and $g\colon B\Gamma \to M$ satisfying $f(m_0) = *$ and $g(*) = m_0$ (where $* \in B\Gamma$ is the canonical basepoint) and choose $\phi_E\colon B\Gamma \to BU(n)$ such that $g^*(E) \cong \phi_E^*(EU(n))$.

Theorem 5.5. *In the situation described above, there is a weak equivalence*

$$\text{hofib}_{\phi_E}(B) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E).$$

Proof. We will construct a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E) \arrow[rr, "\mathcal{T}"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{H}"] & & \text{Map}_*^{U(n)}(E, EU(n)) \arrow[d, "q"] \\
\text{Hom}^E(\Gamma, U(n)) \arrow[r, "B"] & \text{Map}_*^{g^*E}(B\Gamma, BU(n)) \arrow[r, "f^*", "\simeq"'] & \text{Map}_*^E(M, BU(n)).
\end{tikzcd} \tag{41}$$

Here Map_*^E and $\text{Map}_*^{g^*E}$ denote the path components of the based mapping spaces consisting of maps classifying the bundles E and g^*E (respectively), and $\text{Map}_*^{U(n)}$ is the space of based, $U(n)$–equivariant maps. The subspace $\text{Hom}^E \subset \text{Hom}$ consists of those representations inducing bundles isomorphic to $g^*(E)$, i.e. Hom^E is the inverse image of Map_*^E under $f^* \circ B$. The map q sends an equivariant map $E \to EU(n)$ to the induced map $M \to BU(n)$.

We now define the map $\mathcal{T}$. Given a flat connection $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E)$, let $\rho_A = \mathcal{H}(A)$ be the holonomy representation of A (computed at the basepoint $e_0 \in E$). As explained in Section 3, if we choose a basepoint $\widetilde{m}_0 \in \widetilde{M}$ lying over $m_0 \in M$, the bundle

$$E_{\rho_A}(M) \longrightarrow M$$

acquires a basepoint $[\widetilde{m}_0, I_n]$ and admits a canonical flat connection A_ρ whose holonomy, computed at $[\widetilde{m}_0, I_n]$, is precisely ρ. In addition, there is a canonical isomorphism of principal $U(n)$–bundles

$$E \xrightarrow{\phi_A} E_{\rho_A}(M)$$

such that $\phi_A(e_0) = [\widetilde{m}_0, I_n]$ and $\phi_A^*(A_\rho) = A$.

The homomorphism $\rho_A \colon \Gamma \to U(n)$ induces a $U(n)$–equivariant map

$$E(\rho_A) \colon E\Gamma \longrightarrow EU(n)$$

between the simplicial models for these universal bundles, and $E(\rho_A)$ covers $B(\rho_A)$. The homotopy equivalence $f \colon M \to B\Gamma$ is covered by a based map $\widetilde{f} \colon \widetilde{M} \to E\Gamma$ of principal Γ–bundles. We may now define a $U(n)$–equivariant map

$$E_{\rho_A}(M) \xrightarrow{\psi_A} EU(n)$$

by the formula

$$\psi_A([\widetilde{m}, X]) = E(\rho_A)(\widetilde{f}(\widetilde{m})) \cdot X.$$

This is a map of principal $U(n)$–bundles covering $B(\rho_A) \circ f$, and we define

$$\mathcal{T}(A) = \psi_A \circ \phi_A \in \mathrm{Map}^{U(n)}(E, EU(n)).$$

Continuity of

$$\mathcal{T} \colon \mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E) \to \mathrm{Map}^{U(n)}(E, EU(n))$$

is checked just as in Ramras [33, Theorem 3.4], which treats the case of a trivial bundle $E \cong M \times U(n)$.

Now consider the following commutative diagram:

(42)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}^E(\Gamma, U(n)) \arrow[r, "B"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Id}"] & \mathrm{Map}_*^{g^*E}(B\Gamma, BU(n)) \arrow[d, "f^*"] & \{\phi_E\} \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Hom}^E(\Gamma, U(n)) \arrow[r, "f^* \circ B"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Id}"] & \mathrm{Map}_*^{E}(M, BU(n)) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Id}"] & \{\phi_E \circ f\} \arrow[l] \arrow[d, "i"] \\
\mathrm{Hom}^E(\Gamma, U(n)) \arrow[r, "f^* \circ B"] & \mathrm{Map}_*^{E}(M, BU(n)) & \mathrm{Map}_*^{U(n)}(E, EU(n)). \arrow[l, "q"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

The map i sends $\phi_E \circ f$ to a map $\widetilde{\phi_E \circ f} \in \mathrm{Map}_*^{U(n)}(E, EU(n))$ covering $\phi_E \circ f \colon M \to BU(n)$; such a map exists because (by the Bundle Homotopy Theorem)

$$(\phi_E \circ f)^*(EU(n)) = f^*(\phi_E^*(EU(n))) \cong f^*(g^*E) \cong E$$

By Gottlieb [15, Theorem 5.6], the space $\mathrm{Map}_*^{U(n)}(E, EU(n))$ is (weakly) contractible, so all vertical maps in Diagram (42) are weak equivalences and by Proposition 5.4, the induced maps between the homotopy pullbacks of the rows are weak equivalences as well. By (39), the homotopy pullback of the top row is precisely $\mathrm{hofib}_{\phi_E}(B)$, and the bottom row is the square (41) (minus its upper left corner). To complete the proof, then, it will suffice to show that (41) is homotopy cartesian.

The vertical maps in (41) are fibrations: for $\mathcal{H}$, this follows from either Mitter–Viallet [27] or Fine–Kirk–Klausen [11], and for q, this is Gottlieb [15, Proposition 5.3]. The induced map between the fibers of the vertical maps is the inclusion of the based Sobolev gauge group into the continuous gauge group. Since these spaces may be described as spaces of sections of the adjoint bundle $E \times_{U(n)} U(n)^{\mathrm{Ad}}$, this inclusion map is a weak equivalence by general approximation results for spaces of sections. By Proposition 5.3, we conclude that (41) is homotopy cartesian. (Note here that for fibrations, the inclusion of the fiber into the homotopy fiber is a homotopy equivalence. See, for instance, Hatcher [18, Proposition 4.65].) □

Remark 5.6. *Theorem 5.5 implies that for any smooth principal $U(n)$–bundle E over an aspherical manifold M, the (weak) homotopy type of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{flat}}(E)$ is independent of the choice of Sobolev constants made at the start of this section (subject to the conditions explained there).*

Corollary 5.7. *Let M be a closed, smooth, aspherical manifold of dimension d, and let $E \to M$ denote a smooth principal $U(n)$–bundle over M. Then for each $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{flat}}(E)$ and for each $0 < m \leqslant 2n-d-1$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Rank}\,(\pi_m(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{flat}}(E), A_0)) \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{m+2i+1}(\Gamma).$$

Additionally, if $2n-d-1 \geqslant 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{2i+1}(\Gamma) > 0$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{flat}}(E)$ has infinitely many path components.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.13 together with the long-exact sequence in homotopy associated to the (homotopy) fiber sequence

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{flat}}(E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^E(\Gamma, U(n)) \xrightarrow{B} \mathrm{Map}_*^{g^*E}(B\Gamma, BU(n))$$

established in Theorem 5.5. Note that for the statement regarding $\pi_0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{flat}}(E))$, we use the fact that in a fibration sequence $(F, f_0) \to (E, f_0) \xrightarrow{p} (B, b_0)$, if $\partial(\gamma) = \partial(\eta)$ for some $\gamma, \eta \in \pi_1(B, b_0)$, then

$$\gamma^{-1}\eta \in \mathrm{Im}\,(\pi_1(E, f_0) \to \pi_1(B, b_0)).$$

□

Remark 5.8. *If we choose a connection $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{flat}}(E)$, this gives rise to basepoints for all the spaces in Diagram (41). In the long exact sequence in homotopy (with these chosen basepoints)*

$$\begin{aligned}(43)\qquad \cdots \longrightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{flat}}(E) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_*} \pi_*\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n)) \xrightarrow{B} \pi_*\mathrm{Map}_*(B\Gamma, BU(n)) \\ \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{*-1}\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{flat}}(E) \longrightarrow \cdots\end{aligned}$$

resulting from Theorem 5.5, the boundary map ∂ is the composition

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_*\mathrm{Map}_*(B\Gamma, BU(n)) \underset{\cong}{\xrightarrow{f^*}} \pi_*\mathrm{Map}_*(M, BU(n)) \\ \underset{\cong}{\xrightarrow{\partial_q}} \mathrm{Map}_*^{U(n)}(E, EU(n)) \underset{\cong}{\xrightarrow{i_*^{-1}}} \pi_*\mathcal{G}_0(E) \xrightarrow{j_*} \pi_{*-1}\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{flat}}(E),\end{aligned}$$

where ∂_q is the boundary map for the fibration q in Diagram (41), i is the inclusion of the Sobolev gauge group into the continuous gauge group, and $j\colon \mathcal{G}_0(E) \hookrightarrow$

$\mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E)$ is the inclusion of the orbit of A_0. Hence the classes in $\pi_*\mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E)$ described in Corollary 5.7 are all in the image of

$$\pi_*\mathcal{G}_0(E) \xrightarrow{j_*} \pi_*\mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E).$$

Using ideas from this section, the long exact sequence (43) can be identified (after shifting dimensions) with the long exact sequence in homotopy for the fibration

$$\mathcal{G}_0(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}} \text{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n)).$$

In particular, the boundary map

$$\pi_*\left(\text{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n))\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{*-1}\mathcal{G}_0(E) \tag{44}$$

for this fibration can be identified with the natural map

$$B_*\colon\ \pi_*\text{Hom}(\Gamma, U(n)) \longrightarrow \pi_*\text{Map}_*(B\Gamma, BU(n))$$

via the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{*-1}\mathcal{G}_0(E) \xrightarrow[\cong]{i_*} \pi_{*-1}\text{Map}_*^{U(n)}(E, U(n)) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\partial_q^{-1}} \pi_*\text{Map}_*^E(M, BU(n))\\ \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_*\text{Map}_*^{g^*E}(B\Gamma, BU(n)).\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] Martin Arkowitz. *Introduction to homotopy theory.* Universitext. Springer, New York, 2011.

[2] M. F. Atiyah. Characters and cohomology of finite groups. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (9):23–64, 1961.

[3] M. F. Atiyah. Vector bundles and the Künneth formula. *Topology*, 1:245–248, 1962.

[4] M. F. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch. Vector bundles and homogeneous spaces. In *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. III*, pages 7–38. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1961.

[5] M. F. Atiyah and G. B. Segal. Equivariant K-theory and completion. *J. Differential Geometry*, 3:1–18, 1969.

[6] Gunnar Carlsson. k–theoretic descent and a motivic atiyah-segal theorem. arXiv:1003.3062v.

[7] Gunnar E. Carlsson. Derived representation theory and the algebraic K-theory of fields. *J. Topology*, 4(3):543–572, 2011.

[8] David S. Dummit and Richard M. Foote. *Abstract algebra.* John Wiley & Sons Inc., Hoboken, NJ, third edition, 2004.

[9] Alan H. Durfee. Neighborhoods of algebraic sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 276(2):517–530, 1983.

[10] B. Eckmann and P. J. Hilton. Group-like structures in general categories. I. Multiplications and comultiplications. *Math. Ann.*, 145:227–255, 1961/1962.

[11] B. Fine, P. Kirk, and E. Klassen. A local analytic splitting of the holonomy map on flat connections. *Math. Ann.*, 299(1):171–189, 1994.

[12] A. A. Gaĭfullin. The manifold of isospectral symmetric tridiagonal matrices and the realization of cycles by aspherical manifolds. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 263(Geometriya, Topologiya i Matematicheskaya Fizika. I):44–63, 2008. Ttranslation in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 263 (2008), no. 1, 38-56.

[13] A. A. Gaĭfullin. Realization of cycles by aspherical manifolds. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 63(3(381)):157–158, 2008. Translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* 63 (2008), no. 3, 562-564.

[14] Thomas G. Goodwillie. Calculus. II. Analytic functors. *K-Theory*, 5(4):295–332, 1991/92.

[15] Daniel Henry Gottlieb. Applications of bundle map theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 171:23–50, 1972.

[16] A. Grothendieck. Classes de Chern et représentations linéaires des groupes discrets. In *Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas*, pages 215–305. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.

[17] Victor Guillemin and Alan Pollack. *Differential topology.* Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1974.

[18] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic topology.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[19] Philip S. Hirschhorn. *Model categories and their localizations*, volume 99 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[20] Nan-Kuo Ho and Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu. Connected components of spaces of surface group representations. II. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (16):959–979, 2005.

[21] J. F. P. Hudson and E. C. Zeeman. On regular neighbourhoods. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 14:719–745, 1964.

[22] János Kollár. *Lectures on resolution of singularities*, volume 166 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007.

[23] Tyler Lawson. The product formula in unitary deformation K-theory. *K-Theory*, 37(4):395–422, 2006.

[24] Tyler Lawson. The Bott cofiber sequence in deformation K-theory and simultaneous similarity in $\mathrm{U}(n)$. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 146(2):379–393, 2009.

[25] D. McDuff and G. Segal. Homology fibrations and the "group-completion" theorem. *Invent. Math.*, 31(3):279–284, 1975/76.

[26] John Milnor. The geometric realization of a semi-simplicial complex. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 65:357–362, 1957.

[27] P. K. Mitter and C.-M. Viallet. On the bundle of connections and the gauge orbit manifold in Yang–Mills theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 79(4):457–472, 1981.

[28] Edwin E. Moise. *Geometric topology in dimensions* 2 *and* 3. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 47.

[29] Daniel A. Ramras. Periodicity in the stable representation theory of crystallographic groups. To appear in Forum Math. (published on-line 2012). arXiv:1007.0406.

[30] Daniel A. Ramras. Excision for deformation K-theory of free products. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 7:2239–2270, 2007.

[31] Daniel A. Ramras. Yang-Mills theory over surfaces and the Atiyah-Segal theorem. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 8(4):2209–2251, 2008.

[32] Daniel A. Ramras. On the Yang–Mills stratification for surfaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(5):1851–1863, 2011.

[33] Daniel A. Ramras. The stable moduli space of flat connections over a surface. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 363(2):1061–1100, 2011.

[34] Daniel A. Ramras, Rufus Willett, and Guoliang Yu. A finite dimensional approach to the strong Novikov conjecture. arXiv:1203.6168. Submitted, 2012.

[35] Andreas Rosenschon and Paul Arne Østvær. The homotopy limit problem for two-primary algebraic K-theory. *Topology*, 44(6):1159–1179, 2005.

[36] Edwin H. Spanier. *Algebraic topology*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981. Corrected reprint.

[37] René Thom. Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 28:17–86, 1954.

[38] Vladimir Voevodsky. On motivic cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}/l$–coefficients. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174(1):401–438, 2011.

[39] Hassler Whitney. Elementary structure of real algebraic varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 66:545–556, 1957.